		Page 1
1	STATE OF ILLINOIS	
2	ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY	
3		
4		
5		
6	IN THE MATTER OF:	
7	CITY WATER, LIGHT AND POWER	
	RENEWAL OF	
8	NPDES PERMIT NO. IL0024767	
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17	Public Hearing held, on April 28, 2015, at	
18	the Southeast High School, 2350 East Ash Street,	
19	Springfield, Illinois 62703, scheduled for the	
20	hour of 6:00 P.M.	
21		
22		
23		
24		

		Page 2
1	INDEX	
2	SPEAKERS:	PAGE
3	Christine Zeman	19
4	Heath Garner	23
5	Hayden Garner	29
6	Norman Brown	31
7	West King	34
8	Elizabeth Scrafford	36
9	John Williams	40
10	Andrew Rehn	41
11	Tyler Rotche	49
12	Donald Hanrahan	60
13	Jerry Bauer	6 4
14	Cindy Skrukrud	66
15	Katrina Phillips	76
16	Megan Golden	not present
17	Angel Sides	83
18	Bryan Johnsrud	89
19	Heath Garner	93
20	Cindy Skrukrud	94
21		
22		
23		
24		

		Page 3
1	APPEARANCES	
2	HEARING OFFICER:	
3	Dean Studer	
	Illinois Environmental Protection Agency	
4	1021 North Grand Avenue East	
	Springfield, Illinois 627794-9276	
5	217.558.8280	
	dean.studer@illinois.gov	
6		
7	IEPA PANEL MEMBERS:	
8	Robert G. Mosher, Water Quality	
	Standards Unit	
9		
	Carl E. Kamp, Groundwater Section	
10		
	Shu-Mei Tsai, Industrial Unit,	
11	Permit Section	
12	Joanne Olson, Assistant Counsel	
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19	Court Reporter:	
	Robin A. Enstrom, RPR, CSR	
20	Illinois CSR #084-002046	
21	Midwest Litigation Services	
	15 S. Old State Capitol Plaza	
22	Springfield, Illinois 62701	
	217.522.2211	
23	800.280.3376	
24		

		Page 4
1	(Hearing began at 6:02 P.M.)	
2	HEARING OFFICER STUDER: Good	
3	evening. For those of you that are on the	
4	outside, if you would make your way in and have a	
5	seat, we're going to begin here.	
6	My name is Dean Studer, and I'm the	
7	Hearing Officer for the Illinois Environmental	
8	Protection Agency. On behalf of Director Lisa	
9	Bonnett, I welcome you to tonight's hearing. My	
10	purpose tonight is to ensure that this proceeding	
11	runs efficiently and according to rules.	
12	This is an informational hearing	
13	before the Illinois EPA in the matter of the	
14	renewal of the National Pollutant Discharge	
15	Elimination System, otherwise referred to as	
16	NPDES, permit for the City of Springfield, City	
17	Water, Light and Power, CWLP, power station. The	
18	Illinois EPA has made a preliminary determination	
19	that this project meets the requirements for	
20	obtaining a permit and has prepared a draft	
21	reissued permit for review.	
22	The authority for the Illinois EPA to	
23	reissue this permit is contained in Section 39 of	
24	the Illinois Environmental Protection Act, 415	

Page 5 1 ILCS 5/39. In pertinent part, this section reads: "It shall be the duty of the Agency to issue such a permit upon proof by the applicant that the facility, equipment, vehicle, vessel, or aircraft will not cause a violation of this Act 5 or of regulations hereunder." 6 The decision by the Agency in this matter will be based upon the technical merits of 8 9 the application as it relates to compliance with 10 this statute and regulations promulgated under The Agency decision will not be based on how 11 it. 12 many people desire for the permit to be issued or 13 how many people desire for the permit not to be 14 issued but rather on compliance with applicable 15 laws and regulations. 16 Can everyone in the back hear me? Okay. Let the record indicate "Yes." 17 Yeah. 18 Issues relevant to tonight's hearing 19 include compliance with the requirements of the 20 Clean Water Act and the rules set forth in 35 21 Illinois Administrative Code, Subtitle C, 2.2 potential impacts to receiving waters from the 23 discharge, water quality in the receiving waters, 24 and the anti-degradation analysis.

Page 6 1 I also wish to point out that while 2 this is a power station, issues dealing with air 3 pollution and emissions are not the subject of this hearing. Issues involving air pollution, 5 emissions control, and respiratory diseases will not be discussed during this hearing unless they 6 have a direct bearing on the NPDES permit, wastewater control equipment, or some other aspect of water pollution control. If the 9 10 subject matter of your comments begins to drift into these areas, I may interrupt you and ask 11 12 that you proceed to your next relevant issue. 13 This is a water permit hearing. This is not an air permit hearing. Let's keep the issues 14 15 pertaining to the NPDES permit. 16 The Illinois EPA is holding this 17 hearing for the purpose of accepting comments 18 from the public on this draft water discharge 19 permit. This public hearing is being held under 20 the provisions of Illinois EPA's procedures for 21 permit and closure plan hearings which can be 2.2 found in 35 Illinois Administrative Code, Part 23 166, Subpart A, and in accordance with the 24 requirements of the Illinois Pollution Control

Page 7 Board NPDES regulations found at 35 Illinois 1 Administrative Code Sections 309.115 through 309.119. Copies of these regulations are available at the Pollution Control Board site at 5 www.ipcb.state.il.us, or if you do not have easy access to the web, you can contact me, and I can 6 obtain a copy for you. An informational hearing means 9 exactly that -- this is strictly an informational 10 hearing. It is an opportunity for you to provide information to the Illinois EPA concerning the 11 12 permit. This is not a contested case hearing. 13 I'd like to explain how tonight's 14 hearing is going to proceed. First, I will have 15 the Illinois EPA panel introduce themselves and 16 provide a sentence or two regarding their 17 involvement in the permit review process. Then Shu-Mei Tsai will provide a brief statement 18 19 regarding the permit. This will be followed by 20 further instructions as to how statements and 21 comments will be taken during this hearing and as 2.2 to appropriate hearing during this con -- conduct 23 during this hearing. Excuse me. Following these 24 additional instructions, I will then allow the

		Page 8
1	public to speak.	
2	If you have not completed a	
3	registration card at this point, please see Barb	
4	Lieberoff at the registration table, and she can	
5	provide one to you. You may indicate on the card	
6	that you would like to make oral comments	
7	tonight. Everyone completing a card legibly or	
8	providing their business card to Ms. Lieberoff at	
9	the registration table or submitting written	
10	comments during the comment period will be	
11	notified when the Illinois EPA reaches a final	
12	decision in this matter. A responsiveness	
13	summary will be made available at that time.	
14	In the responsiveness summary the	
15	Illinois EPA will respond to all relevant and	
16	significant issues that were raised at this	
17	hearing or submitted to me prior to the close of	
18	the comment period. The comment period in this	
19	matter will close on May 29, 2015. I will accept	
20	written comments as long as they are postmarked	
21	in sufficient time so as they physically arrive	
22	at Illinois EPA headquarters no later than May	
23	29, 2015.	
24	Illinois EPA is committed to	

		Page 9
1	resolving outstanding issues and reaching a final	
2	decision in this matter in an expeditious manner.	
3	However, the actual decision date in this matter	
4	will depend upon a number of factors, including	
5	the number and substantive comments received and	
6	staffing considerations as well as other factors.	
7	During tonight's hearing and	
8	throughout the comment period, relevant comments,	
9	documents, and data will be placed into the	
10	hearing record as exhibits. Please send all	
11	written documents or data to my attention at Dean	
12	Studer, Hearing Officer, regarding CWLP NPDES,	
13	Illinois EPA, 1021 North Grand Avenue East, P.O.	
14	Box 19276, Springfield, Illinois 62794-9276.	
15	This address is also listed on the public notice	
16	for this hearing tonight. Please reference CWLP	
17	NPDES or the NPDES number on your comments to	
18	help ensure that they become a part of this	
19	hearing record. The NPDES permit number for this	
20	facility is IL0024767.	
21	In addition, e-mail comments will be	
22	accepted if sent to epa.publichearingcom	
23	that's e-p-ap-u-b-l-i-c-h-e-a-r-i-n-g-c-o-m	
24	@illinois.gov. E-mails originating on a	

Page 10 third-party server designed to submit comments of 1 the same or nearly the same content from multiple users will not be accepted without prior approval of the Hearing Officer. E-mail comments are to 5 be sent to epa.publichearingcom@illinois.gov, and 6 they need to be received no later than May 29, 2015, and, again, should specify CWLP NPDES or the NPDES number, which is IL0024767, in the 9 subject line. E-mails are electronically sorted 10 and distributed; so please make sure that the words in the subject line are spelled correctly. 11 12 Electronic comments filed during the 13 normal agency business hours should get an 14 automated reply for our server. I will also 15 attempt to send a reply to e-mail comments within 16 a few business days indicating the exhibit number assigned to the electronic submittal. If you do 17 not receive such a reply, you may contact me, and 18 19 I can provide that information to you. 20 I will now ask the Illinois EPA panel 21 to introduce themselves and provide a sentence or 22 two regarding their role in the permit review 23 process. This will be followed by Shu-Mei Tsai 24 giving brief opening remarks on the permit.

		Page 11
1	MR. MOSHER: Hi. My name is Bob	
2	Mosher, and I work in the water quality standards	
3	section at Illinois EPA, and I work with the	
4	permit writer to get water quality standards-	
5	based limits in permits.	
6	MR. KAMP: My name is Carl Kamp, and	
7	I work for the groundwater section at the	
8	Illinois EPA. And my relationship is groundwater	
9	regulation compliance in relation to the ash	
10	impoundments.	
11	MS. OLSON: Hi. My name is Joanne	
12	Olson. I'm assistant counsel at Illinois EPA,	
13	and I provide legal support to Bureau of Water in	
14	issuing NPDES permits.	
15	MS. TSAI: Good evening. My name is	
16	Shu-Mei Tsai, permit writer for the permit	
17	section for industrial unit, and I'm going to	
18	give you	
19	HEARING OFFICER STUDER: Speak into	
20	the mic so they can hear you.	
21	MS. TSAI: So I'm going to give you	
22	an opening statement today. CWLP, City Water,	
23	Light and Power generation station, located 3100	
24	Stevenson Drive, Illinois Springfield,	

Page 12 1 The applicant operate an existing Illinois. fossil-fuel steam electric generating facility with a total generating capacity of 618 megawatts. 5 The facility consists two complexes: Lakeside and Dallman. But the Lakeside complex 6 ceased operation in January 2009. The Dallman complex is still operating and consists four 8 generating units. Dallman 1 and 2 are cyclone-9 fed coal-fired boiler with a combined generating 10 nameplate capacity of 180.2 megawatts. Dallman 11 12 3, a pulverized coal-fired boiler, with a 13 generating nameplate capacity of 207.4 megawatts. 14 And the Dallman 4 is a coal-fired boiler with a generating nameplate capacity of 230.1 megawatts. 15 16 Dallman 1, 2, and 3 generating units are cooled 17 using raw lake water on a once-through cooling system. Dallman 4 utilize a cooling tower. 18 19 This draft permit has been prepared 20 for facility for 16 discharge points from the 21 site into Lake Springfield and Sugar Creek. 2.2 waste stream consists of miscellaneous water and 23 groundwater seepage, Dallman 1 and 2 condenser 24 cooling water, parking lot stormwater runoff,

		Page 13
1	industrial treatment plant wastewater,	
2	non-chemical metal cleaning waste, ash pond	
3	discharge, Dallman coal pile runoff, Dallman 3	
4	condenser cooling water, Dallman plant intake	
5	screen backwash, stormwater runoff from west and	
6	east drainage ditches of Dallman and Unit 4	
7	roadways, ash pond discharge, stormwater runoff	
8	from landfill and ash pond area, and high service	
9	pump station emergency overflow.	
10	HEARING OFFICER STUDER: Thank you,	
11	Shu-Mei.	
12	While the issues raised tonight may	
13	indeed be heartfelt concerns to many of us in	
14	attendance, applause is not appropriate during	
15	the course of this hearing. On a similar note,	
16	booing, hissing, jeering are also not appropriate	
17	and will not be allowed this evening.	
18	Secondly, statements made tonight are	
19	to relate to the issues involved with the	
20	reissuance of this permit. Specifically,	
21	statements and comments that are of a personal	
22	nature or reflect on the character or motive of a	
23	person or group of people are not appropriate in	
24	this hearing. If statements or comments begin to	

		Page 14
1	drift into these areas, I may interrupt the	
2	person speaking and ask that they proceed to	
3	their next relevant issue.	
4	As Hearing Officer, I intend to treat	
5	everyone here tonight in a respectful and	
6	professional manner. I ask that the public and	
7	members of the hearing panel do the same. If the	
8	conduct of persons attending this hearing should	
9	become unruly, I am authorized to adjourn this	
10	hearing should the actions warrant. In such a	
11	case, the Illinois EPA would still accept written	
12	comments through the close of the comment period.	
13	Illinois EPA staff members will be	
14	responding to issues primarily for clarification	
15	purposes. We are here tonight primarily to	
16	listen to the environmental issues related to the	
17	NPDES permit. You may disagree with or object to	
18	some of the statements and comments made tonight,	
19	but this is a public hearing and everyone has a	
20	right to express their comments on this matter.	
21	Again, written comments are given the	
22	same consideration as oral comments received	
23	during this hearing and may be submitted to	
24	Illinois EPA at any time within the public	

Page 15 comment period which, again, runs through May 29, 1 2015. If you are submitting comments by U.S. 3 mail or carrier mail, they need be mailed sufficiently early to arrive at Illinois EPA no 5 later than May 29. Although we will continue to accept 6 comments through that date, tonight is the only time that we will accept oral comments. Any 9 person who wishes to make an oral comment may do 10 so as long as the statements are relevant to the issues at hand and time allows. 11 12 If you have lengthy comments, please 13 consider giving only a summary of those comments 14 during this hearing, and then you may submit 15 comments in their entirety to me in writing 16 before the close of the comment period, and I 17 will ensure they are included in the hearing record as an exhibit. Please keep your comments 18 relevant to the issues related to the water 19 20 permit. If your comments fall outside the scope 21 of this hearing, I may ask you to proceed to your 2.2 next issue. 23 To ensure that we conduct this 24 hearing in a timely fashion, I will impose a time

Page 16 1 limit of six minutes per speaker. After going through the registration cards and giving an 3 opportunity to speak to those that have so indicated, provided time permits, I may allow 5 those who initially did not want to speak to do so. If time still permits, I may then allow 6 those who initially ran out of time to continue to speak. 8 In the event that we cannot 10 accommodate everyone who wishes to make oral comments this evening, you would be asked to 11 12 submit your comments to us in writing. And, 13 again, written comments are given the same weight 14 as comments made orally at this hearing. I 15 stress that we want to avoid unnecessary 16 repetition. Once a point is made, it makes no 17 difference if the point is made once or whether it is made 99 times. It will be considered on 18 its weight, and it will be reflected only once in 19 20 the responsiveness summary. 21 The final decision of the Illinois 2.2 EPA will not be based on how many people support 23 or oppose the issuance of this permit but rather 24 upon the application and its supporting documents

		Page 17
1	indicating that the facility will comply with	
2	applicable laws and regulations.	
3	We have a court reporter here who is	
4	taking a record of these proceedings for the	
5	purpose of us putting together our administrative	
6	record. Therefore, for her benefit, please keep	
7	the general background noise in the room to a	
8	minimum so that she can hear everything that is	
9	said. Illinois EPA will post the transcript for	
10	this hearing on our web page in the same general	
11	place where the hearing notice, draft permit, and	
12	other documents in this matter have been posted.	
13	This transcript will be posted as soon as	
14	practical after this hearing, and that is usually	
15	about three weeks after the hearing closes. The	
16	actual posting date will depend to a number of	
17	factors, including when I get the transcript back	
18	from the court reporter.	
19	When it is your turn to speak, I will	
20	call your name. Please come forward and state	
21	your name for the record, along with any	
22	governmental body, organization, or association	
23	that you're representing. If you are not	
24	representing a governmental body and organization	

		Page 18
1	or an association, you may simply indicate that	
2	you are a concerned citizen or member of the	
3	public. For the benefit of the court reporter, I	
4	ask that you spell your last name. If there are	
5	alternate spellings of your first name, you may	
6	also spell your first name. Once you spell your	
7	name, I will start timing you, and you will have	
8	six minutes to complete your comments.	
9	I ask that while you are speaking	
10	that you direct your attention to the hearing	
11	panel and to the court reporter to ensure that an	
12	accurate record of your comments can be made.	
13	Prolonged dialogue with members of the hearing	
14	panel or with others here in attendance will not	
15	be permitted. Comments directed to members of	
16	the audience are also not allowed.	
17	Again, I remind everyone that the	
18	focus of this hearing is the environmental issues	
19	associated with the NPDES permit.	
20	Are there any questions regarding the	
21	procedures that will be used for this hearing	
22	this evening?	
23	(No response.)	
24	HEARING OFFICER STUDER: Okay. Let	

		Page 19
1	the record indicate that no one raised their	
2	hand.	
3	When I call your name, please come	
4	forward to the podium, state your name, and if	
5	you're representing an organization or	
6	association or government agency, please state	
7	so, and then if you would spell your last name	
8	for the record.	
9	The first person is Christine Zeman.	
10	Ms. Zeman will be followed by, it	
11	looks like, Hath Garner.	
12	MS. ZEMAN: Hello. My name is	
13	Christine Zeman, Z-e-m-a-n, regulatory affairs	
14	director for CWLP.	
15	HEARING OFFICER STUDER: Can everyone	
16	hear her?	
17	(Yes's heard.)	
18	HEARING OFFICER STUDER: Okay	
19	MS. ZEMAN: Thank you.	
20	We thank the Agency for the	
21	opportunity to address the hearing tonight on	
22	behalf of CWLP, and we appreciate too the efforts	
23	of the NPDES program staff to develop this draft	
24	renewed permit. The permit addresses compliance	

		Page 20
1	with applicable regulations while incorporating	
2	terms specific to CWLP. My comment tonight is in	
3	addition to formal comments already filed with	
4	the Illinois EPA on this draft.	
5	This draft renewal is just one	
6	example of CWLP's commitment to the environment.	
7	We are a not-for-profit utility municipal	
8	utility providing electric power and potable	
9	water to approximately 70,000 customers, the	
10	residents and commercial businesses of	
11	Springfield and surrounding areas. The costs of	
12	the potable water, electric generation, and our	
13	environmental controls are borne by our citizens,	
14	the ratepayers.	
15	Lake Springfield is a valuable	
16	natural resource to the city, CWLP, and the	
17	Springfield community. It serves as the only	
18	potable water supply for Springfield and meets	
19	and exceeds the drinking water standards that	
20	apply to it, as the latest water quality report	
21	demonstrates, which I have here available	
22	tonight. Our water department works hard and has	
23	won awards for its watershed protection programs,	
24	including to minimize the impact of runoff from	

		Page 21
1	non-source pollution, the farms that comprise our	
2	watershed.	
3	Lake Springfield also serves as a	
4	cooling lake for our electric generation. Our	
5	electric department has had water pollution	
6	controls in place earlier than many other	
7	utilities to remove or minimize pollutants to the	
8	waters of Lake Springfield and Sugar Creek as	
9	well as to the air.	
10	In 2009 CWLP began commercial	
11	operation of a new unit, Dallman Unit 4, allowing	
12	the retirement of our older, less clean Lakeside	
13	units, which again won accolades from engineering	
14	and environmental groups alike. Unit 4 was	
15	constructed with a dry ash handling system and	
16	has some of the most advanced pollution controls,	
17	including a tower excuse me a cooling tower	
18	that reduces the use of lake water and removes	
19	heat from Unit 4 exhaust which decreases the	
20	impact on lake organisms.	
21	In early 2009 Hanson Engineers	
22	performed a mass balance analyses for outfall 004	
23	with Unit 4's scrubber blowdown added, a	
24	conservative calculation that didn't even include	

Page 22 the retiring Lakeside units, which CWLP deemed 1 acceptable to and accepted by the Illinois EPA. 3 And CWLP has several contracts in place for recycling of coal combustion materials into useful products, again, further minimizing its 5 6 impact on the environment. CWLP has been an important part of the Springfield community for decades now with 8 9 over 600 employees. The projects have been 10 important not only to local contractors but worldwide contractors as well. Lake Springfield 11 12 provides valuable wildlife habitat and enjoyable 13 outdoor recreation; so we recognize its 14 importance in keeping it clean. 15 Again, we appreciate the opportunity here tonight to listen, and we will follow up 16 17 with written comment to the Agency. The draft 18 NPDES permit renewal or reissuance at issue here 19 tonight is a necessary component of our 20 commitment to the environment and especially to 21 Lake Springfield -- to continue efficient 2.2 operations that comply with applicable 23 regulations. 24 Thank you.

		Page 23
1	HEARING OFFICER STUDER: Thank you.	
2	And I will be providing the statement	
3	that Ms. Zeman read from as Exhibit 6 into the	
4	hearing record in this matter.	
5	Next person is Hath Garner, and he'll	
6	be followed by Hayden Garner as well.	
7	I also while he's coming to the	
8	mic, I also want to point out that we do have	
9	four people here. We do not have everyone here	
10	that is involved with every aspect of this	
11	permit. We will be attempting to respond to	
12	issues primarily for clarification purposes, but	
13	I do want to point out that, because policy	
14	questions involve multiple people within the	
15	Agency, we may not be able to address specific	
16	policy issues at this hearing tonight, but they	
17	would be provided with a written response in our	
18	responsiveness summary. Also, the responses that	
19	are given orally at this hearing are considered	
20	preliminary responses, and our final response	
21	will be in writing in the responsiveness summary.	
22	Go ahead, Mr. Garner.	
23	MR. GARNER: Thank you. My name is	
24	Heath Garner, G-a-r-n-e-r. I'm representing the	

		D 24
1	public as a concerned citizen.	Page 24
2	My question well, I have multiple	
3	questions, but is the discharge water at the	
4	plant treated?	
5	At what temperature is it discharged?	
6	If it is treated, how?	
7	Does the temperature of the discharge	
8	water affect bacterial growth in Lake Springfield	
9	or the Sugar Creek?	
10	Will this be studied?	
11	Does the temperature of the discharge	
12	water affect algae growth in Lake Springfield or	
13	Sugar Creek, and will that be studied?	
14	HEARING OFFICER STUDER: Okay. We'll	
15	go back, and you want preliminary responses to	
16	those tonight?	
17	MR. GARNER: Yes, sir. I appreciate	
18	that.	
19	HEARING OFFICER STUDER: Okay. Yeah.	
20	When you give multiple questions like that, it's	
21	probably beneficial if you break them down rather	
22	than giving six or eight at one shot, but we'll	
23	go ahead and try and answer some of those off the	
24	bat.	

		Page 25
1	If I remember right, the first	
2	question was whether or not the water was that	
3	was discharged was treated.	
4	MR. GARNER: Yes, sir.	
5	HEARING OFFICER STUDER: And the	
6	second one had to do with what the temperature of	
7	that water is. I remember those two right off	
8	the bat.	
9	MR. GARNER: Yes, sir.	
10	MS. TSAI: Well, some of our	
11	wastewater have been treated before they	
12	discharge, but some of them are not. Like,	
13	stormwater runoff	
14	COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry. I can't	
15	understand you. Stormwater runoff	
16	MS. TSAI: Some stormwater runoff	
17	they don't treat it before they discharge because	
18	just stormwater. But related to, like, a process	
19	wastewater, like, a ash pond water, they will	
20	treat it before they discharge that; so	
21	HEARING OFFICER STUDER: Temperature.	
22	It varies.	
23	MS. TSAI: The temperature vary	
24	COURT REPORTER: The temperature vary	

		Page 26
1	what?	
2	MS. TSAI: I'm sorry. Could you	
3	repeat the temperature question again?	
4	MR. GARNER: At what temperature is	
5	the water discharged?	
6	MS. TSAI: Well, CWLP got thermal	
7	relief grant by Illinois Board Control Control	
8	Board.	
9	COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry. Can	
10	somebody interpret for her.	
11	MR. MOSHER: Illinois Pollution	
12	Control Board.	
13	MS. OLSON: She's saying that the	
14	they have thermal relief granted by the Illinois	
15	Pollution Control Board.	
16	MS. TSAI: So they already have, you	
17	know, the temperature relief from the Board	
18	already.	
19	HEARING OFFICER STUDER: The question	
20	was at what temperature is it discharged?	
21	MS. TSAI: Right now they have 99	
22	degree for not exeed 8 percent of hour and	
23	over I believe it's 107 temperature for 12	
24	months, but I have double-check with my file for	

		Page 27
1	that.	
2	COURT REPORTER: Double-check what?	
3	MS. TSAI: I will double-check with	
4	answer the answer.	
5	MR. GARNER: If the water is treated,	
6	then how is it treated? Like, what chemicals	
7	would be used to clean the water?	
8	MS. TSAI: Well, they have their own	
9	treatment plant. So they will send water to the	
10	settling pond. Then go to the lagoon to let	
11	the you know, more settling, and they will	
12	send through the treatment plant and add, like, a	
13	polymer or lime, and they will discharge that.	
14	MR. GARNER: Thank you.	
15	Does the temperature of the discharge	
16	water affect the bacterial growth in Lake	
17	Springfield or Sugar Creek?	
18	MR. MOSHER: Well, to start out,	
19	Sugar Creek isn't getting a thermal effluent; so	
20	my answer would be no for Sugar Creek.	
21	For Lake Springfield, I don't know	
22	that there's ever been a study, but given heated	
23	water is being added to the lake, I would feel	
24	safe to say that bacteria growing in that water	

		Page 28
1	would be different from an unaffected lake, but	
2	we'll look and see if there's any studies.	
3	Offhand, I don't know of any.	
4	MR. GARNER: Thank you.	
5	So my next question is similar to	
6	that. Would it affect the algae growth in that	
7	area?	
8	MR. MOSHER: Again, I don't know of	
9	any studies. I do know that Lake Springfield	
10	suffers from excess algae, and we've noted that	
11	in our integrated water quality report and 303(d)	
12	list that we publish every two years. Lake	
13	Springfield has excess algae, and we believe	
14	that's caused by excess phosphorus, but that's	
15	pretty much a non-point issue coming from the	
16	farms in the lake's watershed.	
17	How the heated water affects that	
18	algae, again, I just don't know of any studies.	
19	We'll take a look and see what's available and	
20	report in the responsiveness summary.	
21	MR. GARNER: Thank you.	
22	And if no studies are found, will a	
23	study be performed by CWLP or the IEPA in order	
24	to recognize this issue?	

		Page 29
1	MR. MOSHER: I I would say not	
2	because most of the lakes in Illinois suffer from	
3	excess nutrients and have problems with algae or	
4	excess plant growth. And that's going to be true	
5	whether it's a power plant lake or not, and I	
6	don't I wouldn't see any value in doing such a	
7	study. Maybe if you see that value, you could	
8	provide us with a comment to that effect and	
9	state your reasoning why you think that study	
10	would be valuable.	
11	MR. GARNER: Thank you.	
12	I will come back on the next round.	
13	HEARING OFFICER STUDER: Thank you,	
14	Heath. And I apologize for calling you Hath.	
15	MR. GARNER: Not a problem.	
16	HEARING OFFICER STUDER: I'm sorry.	
17	Hayden Garner, and he'll be followed	
18	by Norman Brown.	
19	MR. GARNER: Hello. My name is	
20	Hayden Garner, G-a-r-n-e-r.	
21	Where exactly are the 17 points of	
22	pollution from the plant?	
23	MR. MOSHER: You're probably	
24	referencing the outfalls that are regulated in	

		Page 30
1	the permit?	C
2	MR. GARNER: (Nodded head up and	
3	down.)	
4	MR. MOSHER: Did we distribute a copy	
5	of the permit? Is that available?	
6	HEARING OFFICER STUDER: There are	
7	some available at the registration area.	
8	MR. MOSHER: Okay. If you want to	
9	pick up a copy of the permit at the registration	
10	desk, there is a page toward the beginning which	
11	lists each outfall and what its receiving water	
12	is.	
13	HEARING OFFICER STUDER: They're also	
14	available on the Internet for this proceeding as	
15	well. I think we brought a couple copies of it	
16	with us. So we do we may have still have	
17	some in the registration area.	
18	MR. GARNER: Thank you.	
19	Does the EPA double-check the numbers	
20	that are self-checked by CWLP?	
21	MR. MOSHER: Yes, we do. We have a	
22	compliance assurance section. We don't have any	
23	representatives from that section here tonight,	
24	but they process the data submitted by CWLP and	

		Page 31
1	check it for compliance with the permit limits	
2	and for really all kinds of things: did they	
3	sample the correct amount of times and the	
4	correct days of the month and so forth. Many	
5	things are checked by that section.	
6	MR. GARNER: I'm also just curious.	
7	Do you know how often that would happen?	
8	MR. MOSHER: CWLP, according to the	
9	NPDES permit, has to submit a monthly report of	
10	their monitoring data. So every month it comes	
11	in.	
12	MR. GARNER: Thank you very much for	
13	your time.	
14	HEARING OFFICER STUDER: Thank you.	
15	Norman Brown, and he'll be followed	
16	by Wes King.	
17	MR. BROWN: My name is Norman Brown,	
18	B-r-o-w-n. I am a long-time resident of the city	
19	of Springfield. My wife and I raised three	
20	children here, three sons, one of whom is still	
21	living here with his family.	
22	I began my work life here in 1963	
23	when we moved here. I worked for Hanson	
24	Engineers for nine years and then left there to	

		Page 32
1	form my own consulting engineering firm which	-
2	provided structural engineering services on	
3	building projects.	
4	I have two thoughts that I would like	
5	to leave with you: one relates to process, and	
6	the other is a substantive matter.	
7	I understand that a lot of the	
8	sampling and testing that is done at CWLP is	
9	based on self-reporting on their part. I believe	
10	that kind of thing has some built-in flaws in it.	
11	I recall that, when we were preparing	
12	specifications on building projects, whenever we	
13	could, we tried to make the sampling and testing	
14	be done in an independent manner so that the	
15	laboratory or the people doing the testing were	
16	not under the control and were not paid for by	
17	the people who would tend to be criticized if	
18	there were a failure in materials check or, in	
19	the case of CWLP, too many pollutants	
20	accumulating at various spots.	
21	There are certainly many a time that	
22	I remember, when the testing was under the	
23	control of the people who would be criticized by	
24	a failure, it would be said, "Well, this was	

Page 33 probably bad sampling. So let's just throw this 1 one out," and that sort of thing would not be 3 likely to happen if the testing and sampling were done in a more independent way. 5 I think that that approach to the sampling would protect both parties because the 6 party that might be subject to not meeting a requirement could say, "We had nothing to do with 9 this. We did not fudge any samples because we 10 were not in control of it." And the overseeing agency, you folks or anybody that you might hire 11 12 to do that, could also say, "We've set things up 13 so that the possibility of throwing out samples that really should be seen and reviewed cannot 14 happen by this procedure." 15 16 The other matter that I'd like to 17 speak of in a general way is how CWLP is letting 18 breakages in legal requirements to extend on into the future before they have to be met. It seems 19 20 to me that with these potentially health-damaging 21 materials -- the heavy metals or other pollutants 2.2 or arsenic or whatever it might be -- as this 23 accumulates, sooner or later there are going to 24 be some health effects seen from that. And it

Page 34 would certainly be a case that, if some health 1 studies were made along the pathways that these materials flow, it would be interesting to know if there are any health effects of that sort seen 5 now. So rather than kick the can down the road and let, you know, the next folks that come by solve this problem, I believe that we should address this with a real sense of urgency and not 10 what seems to be a more casual look. That was all that I had to say. 11 12 HEARING OFFICER STUDER: Thank you. 13 And Wes King will be next, and he'll 14 be followed by Elizabeth Scrafford. 15 MR. KING: Good evening. Wes King. Last name K-i-n-q. Just thank you all for all 16 17 your service to the State of Illinois and the public health and the environment for being here 18 19 as part of this process. 20 And I just -- I'm a lifelong resident 21 of Springfield, born and raised. I work here. I 2.2 live here. I drink the water here in 23 Springfield, but I also am an avid kayaker. I go 24 kayaking and fishing with a number of my friends

		Page 35
1	on Lake Springfield as well as on the South Fork	
2	of the Sangamon River and on the Sangamon River.	
3	And I also in my professional	
4	life, I am the director of an organization that	
5	advocates and represents the needs of small	
6	farmers who are engaged in sustainable	
7	agriculture throughout central Illinois, and I'm	
8	here expressing concerns in both of my lives:	
9	concerns as somebody here as a cit as a	
10	citizen of Springfield that drinks the water as	
11	well as somebody who uses it for recreational	
12	purposes.	
13	And I just I think CWLP can do	
14	better, and I think the EPA, through their	
15	permitting process, can help us to do better in	
16	this community to protect our water quality,	
17	particularly the water we drink but also the	
18	groundwater. I'm concerned about the impact that	
19	the coal ash ponds might have on groundwater in	
20	the area and the impacts that might have on	
21	farmers and rural families that live and depend	
22	on that.	
23	But, then, as somebody who fishes and	
24	kayaks on both on these impacted bodies of	

		Page 36
1	water, I'm concerned about the wildlife, the	
2	aquatic life, but also concerned about the	
3	exposure I might have through that process as	
4	well as drinking the water.	
5	And I just urge, through the permit	
6	process, to please consider stronger standards	
7	that create particularly around the permits	
8	and monitoring some of these potentially	
9	dangerous toxins that could be could leach	
10	into the water system or be discharged into the	
11	lake through the discharge system.	
12	Thank you. Thanks a lot.	
13	HEARING OFFICER STUDER: Thank you,	
14	Mr. King.	
15	And as Ms. Scrafford is coming	
16	forward, John Williams will follow her.	
17	MS. SCRAFFORD: Hi. My name is	
18	Elizabeth Scrafford, S-c-r-a-f-f-o-r-d. Thank	
19	you for this opportunity to testify.	
20	I am an associate organizing	
21	representative with the Sierra Club's Beyond Coal	
22	campaign, working with our local members here in	
23	Illinois and in Springfield.	
24	I am deeply concerned about the	

Page 37 effects that this City Water, Light and Power 1 Dallman coal plant is having on the environment, 3 the community, and the people around the facility. We are here today to urge the IEPA to 5 use your professional judgment to require that the plant take measures to make -- to make sure 6 that the toxic water pollution is not discharged from the facility into waters that over 150,000 9 people rely on for their drinking water. 10 IEPA should also require CWLP to use the latest and best technologies to protect 11 12 aquatic life in Lake Springfield from being 13 harmed by the power plant's water intakes and 14 discharges of hot water from its cooling system. 15 I'm particularly concerned about the 4 to 10 million gallons of coal ash pond 16 17 discharge that is released each day into Lake 18 Springfield and Sugar Creek. I am pleased to see 19 that the proposed permit limits the amount of 20 mercury that can be discharged, but I'm concerned that other metals that are showing up in the 21 2.2 groundwater around the pond may also be getting 23 into the lake. 24 Lake Springfield is currently listed

Page 38 as impaired due to high levels of total suspended 1 solids, phosphorus, and aquatic algae. Sugar Creek is impaired due to boron pollution. It is fundamentally a bad idea to 5 have toxic and dangerous unlined coal ash ponds on the banks of Lake Springfield, and it is 6 unacceptable to allow CWLP to continue to discharge high levels of boron in excess of its 9 permit limits into these receiving waters. It is 10 also unacceptable to further threaten the aquatic life that depends on already degraded habitat in 11 12 Lake Springfield. 13 The plant withdraws water from the lake for the cooling of the boilers. The 14 15 practice is damaging the lake in many ways, and 16 IEPA should only issue a permit to this facility 17 if it utilizes the best technology available for 18 minimizing adverse environmental impact. 19 I hope that the IEPA will take this 20 requirement seriously in issuing this water 21 permit. Whether the hot water from the power 2.2 plant causes significant ecological damage to 23 Lake Springfield has not been reassessed in 37 24 years. CWLP should not be given another three

		Page 39
1	years to provide this information.	
2	I'm here to stand with the residents	
3	of Springfield to ask IEPA to use its best	
4	professional judgment as to the best technology	
5	available to address the thermal pollution from	
6	the plant and the fish kills due to impingement	
7	and entrainment caused by the once-through	
8	cooling plant. The BTA is closed-cycle cooling	
9	which would eliminate the thermal pollution and	
10	the fish kills.	
11	IEPA should also require BAT to	
12	reduce the discharge of toxic metals from coal	
13	ash at the site to the maximum extent feasible	
14	down to elimination of discharge of the	
15	pollutants. Specifically, high boron discharges	
16	at the plant indicate that CWLP must do more to	
17	control this type of pollution. Coal pile runoff	
18	and dust could also be addressed by requiring	
19	coal being stored in silos.	
20	Thank you for your time.	
21	HEARING OFFICER STUDER: Thank you,	
22	Ms. Scrafford.	
23	John Williams, and he'll be followed	
24	by Andrew Rehn.	

		Page 40
1	MR. WILLIAMS: Hi. My name is John	
2	Williams. That's spelled W-i-l-l-i-a-m-s.	
3	HEARING OFFICER STUDER: Can you in	
4	back hear?	
5	UNIDENTIFIED: Yeah, a little bit.	
6	HEARING OFFICER STUDER: Okay. Just	
7	try and yeah, you might want to just point the	
8	mic up a little bit might help you a little bit	
9	too.	
10	MR. WILLIAMS: Now I'm testifying as	
11	a citizen of Springfield. I've been here more	
12	than 40 years. Came down here in 1973 to finish	
13	up my higher education. It's been in the last	
14	three years I've been fortunate to retire with	
15	good health. I'm reminded of that good health as	
16	a result of a couple of weeks helping deliver	
17	meals to less fortunate individuals. And I'm	
18	also fortunate being a senior athlete, and that	
19	gets me to tonight's topic.	
20	I train and compete in Lake	
21	Springfield, and I probably drink more water than	
22	the average citizen in order to be sufficiently	
23	hydrated. But after looking into this issue	
24	recently, the question is am I fortunate to be,	

		Page 41
1	you know, competing, practicing, and drinking,	
2	you know, water from Lake Springfield? And I	
3	think the answer at best is is unclear. Are	
4	toxic contaminants from the coal ash ponds, you	
5	know, getting into Lake Springfield and Sugar	
6	Creek?	
7	And I wonder, because of retirement	
8	and the free time I have, you know, perhaps I'm	
9	also, you know, voicing the opinions similar	
10	opinions of other people not able to be here	
11	because of a time crunch.	
12	You know, after looking into this,	
13	I'm I come away thinking that the deadlines	
14	that have been imposed on CWLP have been very	
15	lax, and I just urge the Illinois Environmental	
16	Protection Agency not to reissue a permit without	
17	establishing greater safeguards to ensure the	
18	health of Springfield citizens.	
19	Thank you.	
20	HEARING OFFICER STUDER: Thank you,	
21	Mr. Williams.	
22	And as Andrew Rehn is coming forward,	
23	he'll be followed by Tyler Rotche.	
24	MR. REHN: Good evening. My name is	

Page 42 Andrew Rehn. That's R-e-h-n. I work as a water 1 resources engineer with Prairie Rivers Network. 3 Thank you for the opportunity to provide comments regarding the draft modified NPDES permit for the 5 discharge of wastewater and intermittent 6 discharge of stormwater runoff from the Lakeside and Dallman power generating facilities --COURT REPORTER: You need to slow 9 down. 10 MR. REHN: -- into Lake Springfield and Sugar Creek in Sangamon County. 11 12 Prairie Rivers Network is the state 13 affiliate of the National Wildlife Federation. It's a nonprofit organization that strives to 14 15 protect the rivers, streams, and lakes of 16 Illinois to promote the lasting health and beauty 17 of watershed communities. Prairie Rivers Network has members that live in, draw their potable 18 19 water and non-potable needs from, recreate 20 within, and draw their employment and income from 21 Lake Springfield, Sugar Creek, and the Sangamon 2.2 River watersheds that would be adversely affected 23 by discharge of pollutants that degrade water 24 quality.

		Page 43
1	My first set of questions is with	
2	regard to the noncompliance of the violations.	
3	So, first of all, was the order of compliance	
4	issued by the EPA to CWLP on March 26, 2014,	
5	resolved?	
6	MR. MOSHER: Given that there's no	
7	one here from our compliance section, we'll have	
8	to answer that in the responsiveness summary.	
9	MR. REHN: Okay. All right. Well,	
10	then, I'll just go through these	
11	HEARING OFFICER STUDER: If you've	
12	got additional questions dealing with the	
13	compliance, you can read the question and that	
14	way they'll be in the record.	
15	MR. REHN: Yep. That's what I'm	
16	going to do.	
17	HEARING OFFICER STUDER: Yeah. And	
18	then we'll respond to them in writing in the	
19	responsiveness summary.	
20	MR. REHN: Sure.	
21	ECHO reports that CWLP has been in	
22	violation of the Clean Water Act for 12 of the	
23	past 13 quarters. This includes seven quarters	
24	of violation of boron from outfall 4, a pH	

		Page 44
1	violation from outfall 4, an iron violation from	
2	outfall 5. Has any action been taken on these	
3	issues?	
4	And, then, additionally, CWLP has	
5	been in violation for failing to develop an	
6	adequate water stormwater pollution prevention	
7	plan numerous times. Does CWLP currently have a	
8	stormwater pollution prevention plan? And how	
9	will the agency ensure that they create one?	
10	MR. MOSHER: Shu-Mei can answer that	
11	question, I believe.	
12	MS. TSAI: Yes. Currently, they do	
13	have the SWPPP for their permit. But a SWPPP is	
14	only for, you know, the stormwater, not they	
15	treat as processing water.	
16	COURT REPORTER: Move the microphone	
17	up. SWPPP?	
18	MS. TSAI: It's S-W-P-P-P. It's only	
19	for the discharge stormwater but not have limit.	
20	MR. REHN: Is that why they're in	
21	violation?	
22	MS. TSAI: I didn't know any	
23	violation about SWPPP. Yeah, I cannot answer you	
24	that, but you asked do they have SWPPP in the	

		Page 45
1	permit. They do.	
2	MS. REHN: Okay. Thank you.	
3	My next set of questions is with	
4	regard to outfall 6. So outfall 6 is labeled as	
5	the ash pond discharge to Lake Springfield.	
6	Outfall 6 appears to be far away from the ash	
7	ponds on the map, but it receives ash pond	
8	discharge.	
9	How is how is that the case? Is	
10	there an ash pond between the lake and the power	
11	plant or	
12	MS. TSAI: Well, according to their	
13	application, they discharge the ash pond waste	
14	stream. So I believe they must collect some	
15	MR. MOSHER: The main ash pond	
16	discharge is to Sugar Creek through outfall 004.	
17	We will look into exactly what comes out of 006	
18	and how often and how much when we get back to	
19	the office, and we'll report that in the	
20	responsiveness summary.	
21	MR. REHN: Okay. I have a couple	
22	more questions to keep in mind when you look at	
23	that. Outfall 6 discharges into Lake	
24	Springfield, but boron is not it is a coal ash	

		Page 46
1	discharge; yet boron is not being monitored. Is	
2	there a reason why?	
3	MR. MOSHER: We'll get back to you	
4	with that.	
5	MR. REHN: Okay. I'm going to	
6	just one more question. The permit states that	
7	all of the water from outfall 6 is used by the	
8	intake structure that is on the lake there and	
9	brought back into the plant. How can you be sure	
10	that any of the discharge from outfall 6 is	
11	actually making it back into the plant and not	
12	being discharged into the lake?	
13	MR. MOSHER: Okay. Good questions.	
14	We'll get with our people back at the office, and	
15	we'll tell you all about it in the responsiveness	
16	summary.	
17	MR. REHN: Okay. Thank you.	
18	I have quite a few more questions.	
19	Dean, how am I doing on time?	
20	MR. MOSHER: Keep going.	
21	MR. REHN: Let's see. Outfall	
22	estimates? So the outfall estimates seem to	
23	be looking through some of the documents, that	
24	the estimates are just based on the outfall	

		Page 47
1	estimates that were listed in the previous	
2	draft estimates for flow rates, that is. So	
3	the daily average flow. So when is the last time	
4	that these daily average flows have actually been	
5	estimated?	
6	HEARING OFFICER STUDER: For which	
7	outfalls?	
8	MR. REHN: I guess any of them.	
9	Specifically 4 and 6, I guess, is what made me	
10	think of this question.	
11	MR. MOSHER: Yeah. The flows from	
12	the outfalls are reported to us every month. So	
13	it shouldn't be hard to come up with really more	
14	than an estimate. We could come up with actual	
15	flows for a period of time. So I think that	
16	would probably be more useful to you, and we'll	
17	do that.	
18	MR. REHN: Okay. Then I have another	
19	question about the anti-degradation analysis. So	
20	an anti-degradation analysis was not complete for	
21	the installation of Dallman 4. However,	
22	according to data from the Energy Information	
23	Administration, coal consumption has gone up by	
24	10 percent on average. Why was an	

		Page 48
1	anti-degradation report not required?	
2	MR. MOSHER: Anti-degradation is a	
3	water quality standard that deals with increases	
4	and loading of pollutants. And Christine Zeman	
5	commented somewhat on this in her talk. Dallman	
6	4 is designed to not have the same wastewaters as	
7	the other units at the site. So there aren't	
8	discharges to these outfalls resulting in	
9	increased loads of pollution. So we didn't have	
10	to do the anti-degradation.	
11	MR. REHN: Well, I suppose that, if	
12	you bring another plant on line, I would expect,	
13	even if it is more energy or more	
14	environmentally friendly, it would still	
15	introduce more discharges.	
16	MR. MOSHER: Well, it's got a dry ash	
17	coal handling system. So you're not putting ash	
18	into the ash pond.	
19	MR. REHN: None of the ash goes in	
20	the ash pond?	
21	MR. MOSHER: It's dry ash. So it's	
22	taken from the plant and put in a landfill or	
23	some beneficial use somewhere. It's not going	
24	into the ash pond.	

		Page 49
1	MR. REHN: Okay. That's all my	
2	questions for now then.	
3	HEARING OFFICER STUDER: Thank you,	
4	Mr. Rehn.	
5	Tyler Rotche is coming forward.	
6	He'll be followed by Donald is it Hanrahan or	
7	Hanrahan?	
8	MR. ROTCHE: Good evening. My name	
9	is Tyler Rotche. That's R-o-t-c-h-e. I work as	
10	a water policy specialist with Prairie Rivers	
11	Network. As Andrew mentioned, a number of our	
12	members live and recreate, draw water from, and	
13	draw their employment and income from the Lake	
14	Springfield, Sugar Creek, and Sangamon River	
15	watersheds and would stand to be adversely	
16	affected by water pollution in the area.	
17	So a number of my questions mostly	
18	deal with coal ash on the site. My first	
19	question would be where do you know where the	
20	dry ash from unit 4 goes?	
21	MS. TSAI: I have to go back to	
22	office and check in the file so I can answer you.	
23	I cannot answer you right now.	
24	MR. ROTCHE: Okay. But that is	

		Page 50
1	reported within the context of this permit?	C
2	MS. TSAI: The dry ash?	
3	MR. ROTCHE: Yeah.	
4	MS. OLSON: I'm sorry. What do you	
5	mean?	
6	MR. ROTCHE: Would that would that	
7	be reported within the context of this permit, or	
8	would it just be in terms of whoever is accepting	
9	it?	
10	MS. OLSON: What do you mean by	
11	"reported"?	
12	MR. ROTCHE: A listing of the places	
13	that are accepting that ash, whether it's a	
14	landfill elsewhere or whether it's the Viper mine	
15	facility or	
16	MS. OLSON: I don't believe we'll	
17	have to go back and check, but I don't believe	
18	this discharge permit covers where coal ash is	
19	later sold or beneficially reused. That's	
20	outside the scope of this permit.	
21	MR. ROTCHE: Okay. Another one of my	
22	questions deals with the status of a	
23	hydrogeologic assessment. So it's my	
24	understanding that, pursuant to the Illinois	

Page 51 EPA's ash impoundment strategy progress report, a 1 hydrogeologic assessment for Dallman was received and has been reviewed by the Illinois EPA; and, moreover, it seems that CWLP has met with IEPA to 5 discuss potential impacts to Sugar Creek and the 6 potential for off-site movement of contaminants. I'm wondering if you could describe the status -the current status of this hydrogeologic 9 assessment. 10 MR. KAMP: Presently, we're still 11 reviewing that. 12 MR. ROTCHE: Okay. Do you have any 13 idea of the timetable for that review? 14 MR. KAMP: No, I don't. Sorry. MR. ROTCHE: Okay. Were there 15 16 additional modifications requested after that 17 original meeting with CWLP? 18 MR. KAMP: No. 19 MR. ROTCHE: Another one of my 20 questions deals with special condition 21, which 21 states that the permittee shall establish and 2.2 maintain a groundwater monitoring program plan 23 for the ash impoundment site subject to Agency 24 review and approval. I'm wondering if you could

		Page 52
1	describe the applicant's current status in	
2	addressing this special condition. Or is that	
3	something that has not yet begun?	
4	MR. KAMP: They have installed a	
5	number of wells. They've installed upgradient	
6	wells or one upgradient well, and they had two	
7	wells they had two wells that needed to be	
8	replaced, and they've monitored them. I haven't	
9	seen monitoring information for a little bit,	
10	though. But they have been monitoring them, as	
11	far as I know.	
12	MR. ROTCHE: Okay. So	
13	MR. KAMP: And they they've come	
14	up with a plan, and they need to contin they	
15	need to do further assessment and monitoring of	
16	those wells too so they can come up with a	
17	further some kind of another plan on how they	
18	need need to come back into compliance with	
19	their their violation notice.	
20	MR. ROTCHE: Sure. So given that	
21	I think you mentioned that you haven't seen some	
22	of the results in some time. Would a condition	
23	on reporting frequency be something the Agency	
24	would be willing to include within the	

		Page 53
1	MR. KAMP: Oh, yeah. They'll	
2	offhand, I don't remember if they if we've	
3	already said something to them. But, yeah,	
4	they're supposed to be doing quarterly, but I	
5	don't remember if we where we are on that.	
6	But they're going to have to in the future	
7	they're going to have to do it quarterly.	
8	MR. ROTCHE: Okay. Thank you.	
9	Would that plan be expected to	
10	incorporate any components of the hydrogeologic	
11	assessment plan?	
12	MR. KAMP: Well, they plan to do	
13	further assessment looking at the and put in	
14	some additional wells also to further assess the	
15	area to determine the extent of impacted	
16	groundwater.	
17	MR. ROTCHE: Okay. So another set of	
18	questions deals with seepage at the Lakeside ash	
19	pond, and it's my understanding that there are	
20	seepage problems at the northern embankment of	
21	the ash pond that have been visible over time.	
22	I'm wondering if these problems are ongoing.	
23	MR. KAMP: I don't know anything	
24	about it.	

Page 54 1 MR. ROTCHE: Okay. So would remedial actions on that -- would those be something that 3 could be expected to be included in this permit? MR. KAMP: My focus -- or I should 5 say Agency focus is the ash impoundments 6 themselves and monitoring wells that are showing -- that are out of compliance. That's my focus -- is dealing with what's out of compliance 9 at this time. MR. ROTCHE: Okay. That's fine. 10 11 Thank you. 12 Another set of questions deals with 13 the USEPA final rule on coal combustion waste. 14 So the final rule was published on April 17th, 15 meaning that its provisions will become effective 16 on October 17th of this year. 17 So just to note, the Lakeside ash 18 pond is unlined and rated by the USEPA as having 19 significant hazard potential and the Dallman ash pond is also unlined and also rated as having 20 21 significant hazard potential. 2.2 So one notable component of the rule 23 states that if unlined units exceed Appendix 4 levels -- and Appendix 4 includes arsenic and 24

		Page 55
1	chromium as well as a set of other constituents.	
2	If they exceed Appendix 4 levels of any	
3	constituent, the operator must stop placing coal	
4	combustion residuals in the unit within six	
5	months.	
6	So within some of the comments we	
7	submitted in our request for a hearing were	
8	results showing exceedances of arsenic at three	
9	ash pond wells as well as chromium exceedances at	
10	one ash pond well and two FGD wells.	
11	So I'm wondering if the agency has	
12	any plans to ensure or any reason to be able	
13	to ensure that the operator will prevent these	
14	unlined pits from exceeding Appendix 4 levels.	
15	MR. KAMP: First of all, we're not	
16	working with the federal regulation at this time.	
17	We're working with the 620 standards	
18	groudwater standards.	
19	MR. ROTCHE: Sure. Is that something	
20	that the agency would be willing to incorporate?	
21	So just to note	
22	MR. KAMP: I'm not going to speculate	
23	on that at this time	
24	MR. ROTCHE: Okay. Well, just as a	

Page 56 1 comment, then, the USEPA regulations are self-implementing which means that the operators that are storing coal ash generated by utilities at actively operating facilities will be subject to a number of reporting requirements and other 5 6 study requirements. It seems that, since a number of those documents and reporting will be generated 9 regardless -- for example, the operator will be 10 required to prepare a fugitive dust control plan in six months and a subsequent report in 14 11 12 months and to establish a groundwater monitoring 13 system -- since those things will be required 14 anyways, it seems it would be prudent for the Agency to potentially just add a requirement 15 16 requiring CWLP to submit those results to the Illinois EPA. 17 So those will be -- those will be 18 19 reported onto a public website, but I'm wondering 20 if there would be any value in --HEARING OFFICER STUDER: Are these 21 2.2 dry ash? What I'm asking is whether or not this 23 pertains to the water discharges. 24 MR. ROTCHE: This relates to ash

Page 57 1 impoundments at the site which have water discharges that are accounted for in this permit as well as groundwater that's impacted by the permit. 5 MS. OLSON: It's my understanding 6 that this -- that is a solid waste disposal rule that USEPA has regulated. That is outside the scope of this NPDES permit. So I'm not sure if we can -- we can answer those questions for you 9 10 tonight. You are exactly right -- that is a self-implementing regulation. We have not made, 11 12 you know, any sort of decision about the Agency's 13 actions on that rule and how it will be 14 implemented by the utilities. 15 MR. ROTCHE: Sure. Yeah. So just to 16 clarify, while it is a rule under RCRA, there is 17 data that would be relevant for this --HEARING OFFICER STUDER: The issue is 18 19 whether or not it has relevance in the context of 20 an NPDES permit. Solid waste doesn't necessarily 21 have relevance in an NPDES permit. That's the 2.2 issue that was talked about by the attorney a few 23 moments ago. 24 MR. ROTCHE: Sure. Well, I would

Page 58 just encourage the Agency to potentially look at 1 that more and consider if that data would be relevant and potentially respond to the relevance 3 of some of that data within the responsiveness 5 summary. 6 Another set of questions I have deals with the stability of the ash impoundments, if I can ask those here. So I notice that mapping by the 10 Illinois Geologic Survey shows that the Dallman ash pit is located directly over underground coal 11 12 mine voids and also that both ash pits are over 13 an underground mine proximity region. Moreover, the Illinois EPA's RMMS, the mapping service, 14 15 shows that the ash pits are in the 100-year 16 floodplain. 17 So I'm wondering if the Agency has any concerns about the stability of the ash pits 18 19 at this site. 20 MS. OLSON: Those stability concerns 21 that you're raising are dealt with by the 2.2 Illinois Department of Natural Resources. This 23 NPDES permit does not deal with stability of the 24 ash ponds.

		Page 59
1	MR. ROTCHE: Are any of those	
2	results whether they're due to frequent or	
3	infrequent, actually, inspecting by IDNR would	
4	those be submitted and considered by the Agency	
5	since they might have some relevance in the	
6	context of groundwater contamination?	
7	MS. OLSON: Submitted by who?	
8	MR. ROTCHE: Is there correspondence,	
9	I'm guessing, between the Agency and the	
10	Department?	
11	MS. OLSON: I don't know if we have	
12	somebody here that can answer that tonight. I'm	
13	not sure.	
14	HEARING OFFICER STUDER: You're	
15	getting into stability issues which are outside	
16	of our purview. So they're not really relevant	
17	in the context of an NPDES permit.	
18	MR. ROTCHE: Sure. Okay.	
19	I think that is all I have at this	
20	moment. So thank you for your time tonight. I	
21	appreciate the opportunity to ask questions.	
22	HEARING OFFICER STUDER: Sure. Thank	
23	you.	
24	MR. HANRAHAN: I can say my name for	

		Page 60
1	you.	
2	HEARING OFFICER STUDER: Yeah. I	
3	think I butchered it, probably.	
4	MR. HANRAHAN: It's Donald Hanrahan.	
5	Thank you for allowing me to talk tonight.	
6	COURT REPORTER: Spell it, please.	
7	Hanrahan spell it.	
8	MR. HANRAHAN: Yes. H-a-n-r-a-h-a-n.	
9	I was born and raised in Springfield.	
10	At the age of 13 my family moved to Forest Park	
11	Hills. For those who don't know, that's the	
12	first subdivision east of Spaulding Dam. It was	
13	populated with a dozen teenage boys my age. We	
14	found our way across Farmer Graham's field back	
15	to Sugar Creek. I went away to school, went away	
16	to law school, came back, and decided in	
17	retrospect, stupidly to take a walk back to	
18	the beautiful place that I knew as Sugar Creek	
19	that was lined with four-foot sycamore trees	
20	stretching out over the water and deep pools	
21	where you could drop a line and pull out a	
22	catfish in the summertime.	
23	What I found was disgusting: A huge,	
24	immense coal pit, ash pit, had been constructed	

Page 61 on the site where we used to play. 1 Destroyed. They try to block it from your view. 3 You can't see it well from the highway. You can't see it behind the ugly concrete bunker that 5 they built across the dam. But you can see it if you go up to the pool deck of the Crown Plaza 6 Hotel and look, and you'll see what engineers think is pretty. So I'm very concerned. Having seen 10 that as a young man, I'm very concerned about water quality in Sugar Creek. I always have 11 12 been. And I appreciate the efforts of CWLP as a 13 leader, but I think CWLP can do better, and I 14 think the EPA can do better. The "P" is for protection, not permitting. And it's a fact that 15 16 CWLP and the EPA have allowed violation of the boron standard in Sugar Creek for years. 17 18 So my first question is how many more 19 years or decades is the EPA going to permit CWLP 20 to dump boron into Sugar Creek at levels that 21 exceed the standards of the Clean Water Act? 2.2 It's my understanding in the past 23 that was justified because it's a short distance 24 from the ash pits to the Sangamon River.

Page 62

- the boron gets in the Sangamon River, it mixes 1
- with the water there, and so the -- it's not in
- 3 violation of the standard anymore. To me that's
- like saying, if you dump garbage in the Sangamon
- 5 River, it's okay because, when it gets to the
- 6 Illinois, it's diluted. If you dump garbage in
- the Illinois, it's okay because, when it gets to
- the Mississippi, it's diluted. If you dump
- 9 garbage in the Mississippi, it's okay because it
- 10 goes into the dead zone in the Gulf of Mexico.
- It's not okay. 11
- 12 If the goal is to use the best
- 13 practices, Dallman 4 might be a good example to
- 14 follow. It uses dry ash handling. CWLP has two
- 15 ancient coal-fired plants that their own
- 16 engineering study by Burns McDonnell and an
- 17 independent study said CWLP should look at
- 18 retiring those plants or converting them to gas.
- 19 Instead, they're proposing to continue wet ash
- 20 handling, and in this permitting process, this
- 21 would be the ideal time to put the pressure on a
- 2.2 polluter to end wet ash handling. Dry ash
- 23 handling is the standard. It is the best
- 24 available practice.

Page 63 1 By doing this, not only do we end the necessity of adding to the ash pits, dumping boron down Sugar Creek, we also free up 7 million 3 gallons of water a day when this agency is 5 considering a permit to build another dam because they say they don't have enough water. 6 What plans does EPA have to phase out wet ash impoundment and clean up the ugly mess 8 9 that these pits have made right next to our 10 drinking water source? Is there -- and, you know, the 11 12 previous speaker was asking about monitoring. My 13 question is why is a future plan of monitoring 14 something that's not being put into this -- a 15 requirement of this permit? Why is there not a 16 requirement in this permit for third-party 17 monitoring of the ash pits and the discharges? 18 I also would like to know what 19 financial assurances are being required under 20 this permit to protect Springfield and downstream 21 communities in the event of a breach of the ash 2.2 pits. And if you're not going to force now or in 23 the future a change to dry ash handling, is there 24 a plan in any -- in this permit process or in any

		Page 64
1	other to require lining the ash pits? And, if	
2	so, when?	
3	And why is there no goal established	
4	in this permit process to require lined ash pits	
5	if you're going to still allow wet ash handling	
6	into the indefinite future?	
7	Now is the time for the Illinois	
8	Environmental Protection Agency to force	
9	compliance with the boron standards, to end wet	
10	ash handling, and to require dry ash handling so	
11	that all of these problems go away in the future,	
12	and we can close these ash pits and get rid of	
13	them.	
14	Thank you.	
15	HEARING OFFICER STUDER: Thank you.	
16	Jerry Bauer, and he'll be followed by	
17	Cindy Skrukrud.	
18	MR. BAUER: Hello. My name is Jerry	
19	Bauer. That's B-a-u-e-r. Like to thank you for	
20	opening up and giving the community an	
21	opportunity to ask important questions about	
22	something that's very important water, health,	
23	recreation, environmental protection.	
24	My main concern is, once again, about	

		Page 65
1	the coal ash pits and	1 age 03
2	HEARING OFFICER STUDER: Can you	
3	lower the mic just a little bit just to make	
4	sure	
5	MR. BAUER: Is that better?	
6	HEARING OFFICER STUDER: Yeah.	
7	MR. BAUER: Okay. Thank you.	
8	My main concern is about the wet coal	
9	ash pits, and just very generally, as a resident	
10	of Springfield and as somebody who you know,	
11	this is our drinking water source. This is also	
12	a great source of recreation. Though I don't	
13	live on the lake, I do have friends who live on	
14	the lake and are in it and on it every weekend.	
15	You know, as the summer the warm weather is	
16	coming, they're going to be in it a lot more.	
17	And I do am concerned, like a lot	
18	of the concern that's been expressed by a lot of	
19	other community members here, about the proximity	
20	of the wet ash the wet ash pits and the	
21	dangerous heavy metals that are in the wet ash	
22	pits arsenic, boron, cadmium, chromium, iron,	
23	lead, manganese. I'm concerned about all those	
24	heavy metals and the health problems that those	

Page 66 can have for the community and just urge the IEPA 1 to not -- not let this permit go forward without the very strongest protections for the community, for the drinking water, and for those who use the lake otherwise. Thank you very much for your time. HEARING OFFICER STUDER: Thank you, Mr. Bauer. Cindy Skrukrud. She'll be followed 10 by Katrina Phillips. 11 MS. SKRUKRUD: So my name is Cindy 12 Skrukrud. Last name is spelled S-k-r-u-k-r-u-d. 13 I'm the clean water advocate for the Illinois 14 Chapter of the Sierra Club. So I'm here, on behalf of Sierra Club members who live in the 15 16 Springfield area, to voice for them our concerns

that we want to make sure that the permit granted

to CWLP is in compliance with the Clean Water

safeguards to protect the water quality in Lake

Springfield which is, as everyone knows, the

drinking water source for people in this area,

Act, and we want to make sure that it has

Fax: 314.644.1334

24 Creek.

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

Page 67 1 And so I've got a number of questions and that I wanted to ask. My first question has to do with outfalls 2 and 9. In the draft permit, it talks about that that -- those 5 discharges consist of -- include condenser 6 cooling water and Dallman ash pond sluice water. And so my question is, is that sluice water, water that's been in contact with coal ash? MS. TSAI: Yes. The answer is yes. 10 MS. SKRUKRUD: Yes. Okay. So then I appreciate that this draft permit includes 11 12 monitoring for mercury at outfalls 4, 5, 6, and 13 7. But then I wonder why, if outfalls 2 and 9 also are in contact with coal ash, why there's no 14 15 mercury monitoring and limits required for those 16 outfalls. 17 MS. TSAI: Well, CWLP -- they treat 18 ash pond water and discharge for the intake 19 cooling water and pump that and use it for the 20 condenser water to discharge outfall 02 and 09, 21 and then in the permit we already ask for the 2.2 sampling at outfall 06. So they already --23 sample that already. 24 MS. SKRUKRUD: You're saying that it

		Page 68
1	already goes to outfall 006 before it goes to	
2	outfalls 2 and 9?	
3	MR. MOSHER: We said before the	
4	discharge of water that contacts coal ash is 004,	
5	and there are other outfalls, Cindy, that you're	
6	talking about right now that that may have	
7	some lesser contact with ash, and I think what we	
8	need to do in the responsiveness summary is	
9	explain in some detail just what all these	
10	outfalls really how much ash they're really	
11	contacting and give a good explanation of that.	
12	We'll do that in the responsiveness summary.	
13	MS. SKRUKRUD: Okay. Thank you.	
14	That would be helpful.	
15	And then I wanted to just ask about	
16	the the dis the discharge from outfalls 4	
17	and 6. I understand it includes fly ash, bottom	
18	ash. It also says scrubber sludge disposal site	
19	wastewater. I was wondering is that flue gas	
20	desulfurization waste? Is that a part of what	
21	goes goes to the ash ponds?	
22	MR. MOSHER: There's an emissions	
23	control wastewater, and there's a treatment to	
24	that. Some of that emissions control wastewater	

		Page 69
1	is sent to the Springfield Metro Sanitary	
2	District.	
3	MS. SKRUKRUD: Okay.	
4	MR. MOSHER: Again, I think, to	
5	answer your question in the detail that you want,	
6	we got to we're going to have to go back and	
7	do that at the office.	
8	MS. SKRUKRUD: Okay. Thank you.	
9	And I think Andrew already raised	
10	this issue, and I know you said that you would	
11	address it the issues of flows but I	
12	noticed in Shu-Mei Tsai's your 10-17	
13	October 17, 2014, 15-day review, that there were	
14	comments that CWLP had made about the flows at	
15	the $$ at various outfalls, outfall 4 and 5 and	
16	6, and the numbers are all over the place. And	
17	so it kind of seems like they raised issues and	
18	then maybe you resolved them with a different	
19	number.	
20	So I just think it would be helpful	
21	for us to to really understand, you know, is	
22	everybody happy with the flow numbers that are in	
23	the draft permit, and do they accurately reflect	
24	the flows at each of these outfalls.	

		Page 70
1	I wanted to then ask about special	
2	condition 18, which is the semiannual monitoring	
3	requirements, and I've read the USEPA guidance on	
4	what pollutants are expected in coal combustion	
5	residual waste in coal ash. And there are a	
6	number of pollutants that you have not included	
7	in special condition 18 that they say are	
8	typically found in coal ash. Those include	
9	aluminum, thorium, nitrate, and nitrite. And I	
10	just wondered did you con why haven't those	
11	been included in the semiannual monitoring?	
12	MR. MOSHER: One reason, at least, is	
13	that there are no water quality standards	
14	applicable to those substances in those discharge	
15	locations. So from a water quality standards	
16	perspective, it doesn't do any good to make them	
17	monitor.	
18	Now, there may be another reason, and	
19	if we think of it now, we'll tell you, but if we	
20	don't, we'll tell you in the responsiveness	
21	summary.	
22	MS. SKRUKRUD: So I can understand	
23	that for aluminum and thorium, but	
24	nitrate/nitrite that's certainly there's	

Page 71 a -- got to be a concern about the levels of that 1 in Lake Springfield because of blue baby 3 syndrome. MR. MOSHER: Yeah. If -- if the 5 discharge is going to Lake Springfield and if our 6 staff believes that there could be a significant level of a pollutant, then we should have a monitor for it. But if the opposite is true, if 9 we don't believe it's possible to get significant 10 levels at all, then we don't; but we'll go over it and --11 MS. SKRUKRUD: Yeah. I understand 12 13 that. I just was, yeah, asking if you made the 14 evaluation. So then -- then I know there's a long 15 record here because you haven't issued this --16 17 reissued this permit since 2001. And so I was 18 trying to understand what kind of reasonable 19 potential analysis you've done for water 20 quality-based effluent limits. So I've gone 21 back, and I found -- I found an evaluation that 2.2 Bob did in October 2006 that calls for -- that 23 called for monitoring of hexavalent chromium at 24 outfall 4 and limits for nickel, which aren't in

Page 72 the draft permit, and then at outfall 5 also 1 called for monitoring of hexavalent chromium, boron, and limits on copper that aren't in the draft permit. 5 Now, maybe I'm not seeing the latest information, but I wanted to raise that issue. 6 MR. MOSHER: Yeah. You're right about the last water quality-based effluent limit 8 9 evaluation was in 2006, and we did note elevated 10 nickel levels in ash pond 004 effluent. That data is now very old, and there are sometimes 11 12 advances in laboratory technique and whatnot, and 13 we do need to go back. 14 It was -- the limits that you spoke 15 of in monitoring conditions were left out of the 16 permit, I think, in error, but we might just want to ask CWLP to go do some more monitoring and get 17 18 some up-to-date data and then make that decision, 19 do our reasonable potential analysis. We'll have 20 to talk about that. I can't commit to that 21 tonight, but we'll -- you're very -- very right 2.2 that the water quality-based effluent limit 23 evaluation was not -- is not reflected in this 24 permit, and it should be.

		Page 73
1	MS. SKRUKRUD: Okay. Thank you.	
2	Well, then, I would simply raise the issue too	
3	that, you know, in reading in reading your	
4	memo, for example, there is, for a substance like	
5	cadmium, the you know, you look at the number	
6	of samples, you multiply by the factor, and you	
7	come up with the 95th percent potential number.	
8	And so for cadmium that number is	
9	greater than the chronic standard, and then it	
10	looks to me like then you say that the average of	
11	cadmium in the effluent is well below the chronic	
12	standard. Therefore, no reasonable potential	
13	exists to exceed either the acute or chronic	
14	standard.	
15	Is that still IEPA's practice of how	
16	you evaluate a reasonable potential?	
17	MR. MOSHER: Yes, it is. The table	
18	you're referring to in my October 26, 2006, memo,	
19	the second column is "Maximum effluent	
20	concentration." So out of 35 cadmium samples,	
21	the highest one was 0.0032 milligrams per liter.	
22	And there is no reasonable potential to exceed	
23	the acute standard. So we're okay there.	
24	MS. SKRUKRUD: Yep.	

		Page 74
1	MR. MOSHER: But we don't want to use	
2	a maximum single effluent concentration to	
3	compare to the chronic water quality standard.	
4	So we use monthly averages where they're	
5	available. If they're not available, we use the	
6	overall average of the cadmium values, and that	
7	average, times the multiplier for reasonable	
8	potential, leads to the conclusion that there's	
9	no reasonable potential to exceed the chronic	
10	standard either. So	
11	MS. SKRUKRUD: Okay.	
12	MR. MOSHER: we do both. We	
13	evaluate both acute and chronic standards.	
14	And the way it's set up is you you	
15	have the footnote. Under "Further analysis,"	
16	when it says "Yes," that means, okay, there's	
17	something to say about the acute or the chronic	
18	that we explain outside that table in the memo.	
19	MS. SKRUKRUD: Okay. Okay. Thank	
20	you.	
21	HEARING OFFICER STUDER: How many	
22	more questions do you have along this line,	
23	Cindy?	
24	MS. SKRUKRUD: I think I have I	

1	have two more questions.	Page 75
2	HEARING OFFICER STUDER: Okay. Yeah.	
3	We've still got three people that need to speak.	
4	MS. SKRUKRUD: Okay. Well, I can	
5	come back later.	
6	HEARING OFFICER STUDER: If there's	
7	just two, I think we can at least get them on the	
8	record and try to get a response to you here real	
9	quick, if you have them.	
10	MS. SKRUKRUD: Okay. So my two	
11	last two questions are has Illinois EPA made a	
12	best professional judgment on determining	
13	technology-based effluent limits for discharges	
14	that have been in contact with coal ash?	
15	MR. MOSHER: Cindy, that's a policy	
16	question, and we'll get together with Agency	
17	management and give you an answer in the	
18	responsiveness summary.	
19	MS. SKRUKRUD: Okay. And then I	
20	have a similar question is have you made	
21	any has the Agency been made any best	
22	professional judgment to require dry ash handling	
23	at CWLP for those units that are currently using	
24	wet ash handling?	

		Page 76
1	MR. MOSHER: Yeah. That's another	
2	policy question; so	
3	MS. SKRUKRUD: Okay.	
4	MR. MOSHER: We'll be very busy	
5	answering these.	
6	MS. SKRUKRUD: Thank you. Thank you	
7	all very much.	
8	HEARING OFFICER STUDER: Thank you,	
9	Cindy.	
10	Katrina Phillips, and she'll be	
11	followed by Megan Golden.	
12	MS. PHILLIPS: Hi. I'm Katrina	
13	Phillips. Katrina with a "K." Phillips,	
14	P-h-i-l-l-i-p-s. and I'm also here with the	
15	Illinois Chapter of the Sierra Club. I'm their	
16	clean water organizer; and, as Cindy said, I'm	
17	here on behalf of our members who live in and	
18	around Springfield who depend on Lake Springfield	
19	for their drinking water source and to depend on	
20	both Lake Springfield and Sugar Creek for their	
21	recreational and wildlife values.	
22	And so the questions that I have for	
23	you tonight are mostly focused on thermal	
24	pollution dis the thermal discharge and also	

		Page 77
1	impingement and entrainment issues.	
2	So my first question is when were any	
3	thermal studies last completed for this facility?	
4	MS. OLSON: It seems like we might	
5	have to get back to you, but there was a board	
6	proceeding in 1978. I believe they did a thermal	
7	demonstration at that point. Whether or not	
8	they've done one since then, I don't know, and	
9	we'll get back to you.	
10	MS. PHILLIPS: Okay. And my other	
11	question is why is the plant not required to use	
12	closed-cycle cooling or its equivalent to meet	
13	the best technology available requirement to	
14	reduce thermal pollution from the plant?	
15	MS. OLSON: We'll get back to you on	
16	that one as well.	
17	MS. PHILLIPS: Okay. The third	
18	question on thermal is why have technology-based	
19	effluent limits for thermal discharges not been	
20	established as required by the Clean Water Act,	
21	Section 301(b)? Which I'm guessing is a policy	
22	question.	
23	MS. OLSON: We'll get back to you.	
24	MS. PHILLIPS: Okay. Also, has CWLP	

		Page 78
1	demonstrated that its effluent will not exceed	
2	limits necessary to protect and propagate a	
3	balanced indigenous population of shellfish,	
4	fish, and wildlife in and on Lake Springfield and	
5	Sugar Creek?	
6	MS. OLSON: If you just want to go	
7	through your thermal questions, we'll address	
8	them in the responsiveness summary.	
9	MS. PHILLIPS: Okay. Thank you.	
10	My final thermal question is under	
11	what authority has the Agency continued the	
12	thermal variance granted to CWLP 37 years ago	
13	until this day without new or current studies?	
14	Okay. And then I just have a few	
15	questions on impingement and entrainment issues.	
16	The first to be the EPA final rule	
17	under 316(b) states that mesh of three-eights-of-	
18	an-inch size does not prevent entrainment and	
19	without any other precautions can lead to high	
20	mortality of impinged fish. What other	
21	precautions are being taken to reduce fish	
22	mortality?	
23	MS. TSAI: Right now Agency doesn't	
24	have any new data or recent data about	

		Page 79
1	impingement or entrainment, but in the permit we	
2	already require to have submit	
3	COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry. I lost	
4	you. In the permit	
5	MS. TSAI: In the permit we already	
6	request CWLP submit a study to Agency. Then we	
7	will do an evaluation in the future.	
8	MS. PHILLIPS: Okay. And that was	
9	actually another question. In the permit it says	
10	that CWLP is given four more years to submit	
11	additional information on impingement and	
12	entrainment, and I was wondering why the Agency	
13	did not ask for this information earlier in order	
14	to form a proper best professional judgment	
15	determination regarding the best technology	
16	available to minimize impingement and	
17	entrainment.	
18	MS. TSAI: Well, the new rule just	
19	come out. We had you know, in August 15,	
20	2014, the day I remember. So that's why we	
21	require they submit a new	
22	COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry. I can't	
23	hear you.	
24	MS. TSAI: Okay. Well, the new	

Page 80 1 federal regulation just publish in August 15, 2014, and this permit -- that's why we request 3 they have to meet the new rule and submit a new data to Agency, and we can do the evaluation. 5 MS. PHILLIPS: Thank you. And then 6 another question: The facility currently uses a once-through cooling intake system which leads to the impingement and entrainment of a significant 9 number of aquatic organisms. How does this meet 10 the equivalent of best technology available in accordance with best professional judgment 11 12 provisions? 13 MR. MOSHER: That's another question 14 we'll have to get together. Since this is a public hearing and 15 we're using these words "impingement" and 16 17 "entrainment," entrainment means drawing in 18 aquatic life past the bar screens, through the 19 plant, through the cooling system of the plant, 20 and these -- these are small organisms. It can 21 be fish fry, other very small organisms in the 2.2 lake. Whereas impingement means adult fish or 23 larger fish being sucked in the intake and 24 trapped on the bar screens and possibly killed.

Page 81 1 And these are serious things, and the regulations from USEPA have been evolving 3 recently. One of your questions was, well, why haven't you required these studies? Well, the last permit was issued in 2001, long before USEPA 5 6 has updated its requirements. So this permit requires CWLP to provide us with the information we need. And all this sounds scary, but Lake 10 Springfield does very well in its fishery. It has good fish populations. The locals every --11 12 every spring or summer the local newspaper prints 13 our district fish biologist's survey report --14 Dan Stephenson with IDNR. The lake is doing very 15 well in its fish population. 16 I'm not minimizing what -- your 17 questions about impingement/entrainment, but we've got an audience here, and I think reality 18 19 needs to be expressed. 20 Impingement/entrainment -- yeah, it's 21 We need to have all our power plants 2.2 do what the USEPA requires them to do. But, on 23 the other hand, we can step back and look and see 24 the fish in Lake Springfield are doing very well.

		Page 82
1	So just not trying to answer your questions,	
2	but just trying to clear things up for the	
3	audience.	
4	MS. PHILLIPS: Yeah. Okay. And then	
5	my only other question on that would be, in the	
6	studies that you require the facility to do on	
7	impingement/entrainment, would this include	
8	having them take a look at what it would take to	
9	reduce impingement/entrainment by at least 95	
10	percent or the equivalent of closed-cycle	
11	cooling?	
12	MR. MOSHER: Another policy question.	
13	We'll answer it later.	
14	MS. PHILLIPS: Okay. Thank you so	
15	much. Thank you for having this hearing and	
16	answering our questions.	
17	HEARING OFFICER STUDER: Thank you,	
18	Ms. Phillips.	
19	Megan Golden, and she'll followed by	
20	Angel Sides.	
21	UNIDENTIFIED: Unfortunately, Megan	
22	had to go.	
23	HEARING OFFICER STUDER: Megan is	
24	gone?	

		Page 83
1	UNIDENTIFIED: She had to leave,	
2	yeah.	
3	HEARING OFFICER STUDER: Okay.	
4	Angel Sides?	
5	MS. SIDES: Yeah. My name is Angel,	
6	like the angel in heaven, and Sides. That's like	
7	two sides of a coin.	
8	And I work with a lady locally	
9	HEARING OFFICER STUDER: Can you	
10	spell your last name for the record, please?	
11	MS. SIDES: Yeah. S-i-d-e-s, like	
12	two sides of a coin.	
13	HEARING OFFICER STUDER: Thank you.	
14	MS. SIDES: You're welcome.	
15	and she happened to have the same	
16	doctor that I go to, a wellness doctor in	
17	Decatur. And she said she had a special test	
18	done that shown that she has sulfur and mercury	
19	in her blood. And I having studied a little	
20	bit about the you know, the toxins put out by	
21	coal plants, I knew that was a couple of toxins	
22	that were that weren't in her blood. And I	
23	got curious, and I went ahead and did had the	
24	same test done since we do have the same doctor	

Page 84 1 in Decatur. And low and behold, I have the same chemicals in my blood, and that concerns me because of something I read from Earthjustice and Physicians for Social Responsibility. In 2010 5 they link coal ash waste with cancer and nerve 6 damage. And I guess my question is has 8 there -- has there ever been a study done linking 9 diseases to proximity of the coal plant? 10 maybe, in this case, to Spring Creek here in Springfield because, you know, the federal 11 12 standards don't really mean anything, and 13 especially with all the big money and politics 14 right now, what means something is, is this 15 causing health problems in people. 16 Doesn't anyone know of any study 17 that's ever been done with the proximity to disease with the coal plant? And -- and --18 HEARING OFFICER STUDER: No one on 19 20 the panel is aware of a study having been done. 21 MS. SIDES: Yeah. Because there --2.2 you know, there are things, like, they call 23 cancer clusters. 24 HEARING OFFICER STUDER: I do want to

Page 85 remind everyone here that the issues do have to 1 relate to the NPDES permit in some way. So we need to watch what we do. We'll entertain your question. And if it's out of the scope of what 5 we can do, we'll let you know. But your 6 bordering on something that may not be something that we can address through the NPDES permit. MS. SIDES: Well, I'm not sure what 9 all you can do, but you have no -- you can't look 10 up studies like that, or there haven't ever been 11 a study. 12 HEARING OFFICER STUDER: Right. The 13 hearing and the scope of the hearing deals with 14 the water discharge permit. So unless the sulfur 15 or the mercury are really in the water discharge, 16 it really isn't something that we can address in 17 the context of a water discharge permit. isn't that we're not concerned. It's that it's 18 19 out of the scope of this hearing. 20 MS. SIDES: Is the toxins that get in 21 the groundwater -- is that something that would 2.2 get into --23 HEARING OFFICER STUDER: Correct. 24 Did the doctor give any indication of where this

		Page 86
1	may have been coming from? Or is it just	
2	something that's being speculated on the record	
3	is the point that I'm making.	
4	MS. SIDES: Yeah. Well, I I have	
5	yet to see the doctor with the follow-up with	
6	this test. I just recently took it because of,	
7	like I said, I was talking to a co-worker.	
8	But with the toxins in the	
9	groundwater, do they eventually get into the	
10	water table?	
11	HEARING OFFICER STUDER: Yeah. We're	
12	not disagreeing with that. What we're saying is	
13	you need to find some way to relate this to this	
14	NPDES permit, and at this point it doesn't sound	
15	like we have anything that's causative in the	
16	nature it isn't that we're unconcerned. It's	
17	just that we may not be able to address it in the	
18	context that we're talking about this evening.	
19	Did I lose you on that? Or do I need to explain	
20	more what the purpose of this hearing is and	
21	MS. SIDES: I I just have a	
22	question. I don't know if you're going to	
23	consider this relative but	
24	HEARING OFFICER STUDER: Go ahead.	

		Page 87
1	Go ahead and ask it. I mean, I'm not trying to	
2	scare you off. Go ahead and ask it, and if it's	
3	outside of the scope, we'll let you know	
4	MS. SIDES: Well, that's it's	
5	you know, that's kind of a matter of opinion	
6	whether it's outside the scope. I'm sure it will	
7	be.	
8	But are there are there bag houses	
9	in the smokestacks? Bag houses.	
10	HEARING OFFICER STUDER: A bag house	
11	has to do with air pollution control.	
12	MS. SIDES: I know.	
13	HEARING OFFICER STUDER: Okay.	
14	MS. SIDES: Yeah. I'm aware of that.	
15	I was is there	
16	HEARING OFFICER STUDER: Yeah. We	
17	have no one here from air pollution control at	
18	this hearing, and I'm not going to start going	
19	into air control issues in a water permit,	
20	especially when I announced at the very beginning	
21	we wouldn't be discussing that this evening.	
22	MS. SIDES: Yeah. Well, I'm I'm	
23	just concerned for my health and other people I	
24	know and and, also, you know, it just seems	

		Page 88
1	like regulations don't mean much if it doesn't	-
2	protect someone's health. And, further, it just	
3	seems like we're dealing with the symptoms rather	
4	than the disease. What we really need is	
5	alternative energy.	
6	Thank you.	
7	HEARING OFFICER STUDER: Thank you.	
8	We'd be happy to talk with you after the hearing	
9	so that we could go maybe go into some other	
10	avenues that would be available, but I don't	
11	think it's appropriate to go through it in the	
12	context of an NPDES permit, but there may be	
13	other options and avenues that would be	
14	available.	
15	Okay. We've gone through the hearing	
16	cards. Is there anyone here in the room that has	
17	not spoken this evening that has registered and	
18	would like to speak?	
19	(No response.)	
20	HEARING OFFICER STUDER: Okay. Let	
21	the record okay.	
22	MR. JOHNSRUD: I registered, but I	
23	didn't indicate	
24	HEARING OFFICER STUDER: Okay. If	

Page 89 you're going to speak, you need to come forward 1 to the mic, state your name, and spell your last name for the record, please. MR. JOHNSRUD: My name is Bryan. 5 That's B-r-y-a-n. Last name is Johnsrud. That's 6 J-o-h-n-s-r-u-d. I did fill out a card, but I did not indicate that I was going to ask any questions. I am planning on following up with some written comments, but I had a couple 10 comments that I think would -- might be appropriate to think about at this point. 11 12 One of the things that was mentioned 13 about the last permit -- I just think it would be 14 helpful to -- for the record to show, you know, 15 how long a permit -- what's the duration of a permit, of an NPDES permit, and how frequently it 16 17 needs to be renewed. There seemed to be a comment that it 18 was last issued in 2001, and that doesn't seem to 19 20 be normal practice. If there's a -- you want to respond to that now or you can wait in your 21 2.2 written summary. 23 MS. OLSON: NPDES permits are valid 24 for five years. However, if you apply for

		Page 90
1	renewal 180 days before the expiration date of	
2	that permit, you have something called an	
3	administrative continuance that lasts until that	
4	permit is reissued.	
5	I don't I don't have the date of	
6	CWLP's renewal request, but I'm assuming in this	
7	case that they submitted their renewal request	
8	within that time frame. And they timely renewed,	
9	and that's why this permit they have been	
10	operating under their old permit since it	
11	expired legally and hopefully we get this	
12	permit out sooner rather than later.	
13	MR. JOHNSRUD: So it would be fair to	
14	say that the Illinois EPA has had some problems	
15	with renewing that permit even though CWLP had	
16	applied for renewal in some timely manner?	
17	HEARING OFFICER STUDER: I'm not	
18	going to speculate as to what those issues were.	
19	I don't know for sure, but it is something that	
20	we can go into in a little more detail in the	
21	responsiveness summary in writing and would be	
22	happy to do so.	
23	MR. JOHNSRUD: Okay. I just had the	
24	comment a lot of the comments have been	

		Page 91
1	talking about what they propose to do and some	
2	real speculative things as far as, you know, what	
3	will happen with this and that and studies. I	
4	think it's important to note that we they have	
5	exceeded various either advisory health	
6	advisories or permit conditions or groundwater	
7	standards or something in a multitude of	
8	contaminants as part of their past discharges.	
9	And so I think it I would	
10	recommend that the Illinois EPA impose monitoring	
11	requirements on all the outfalls, particularly	
12	those that have been in contact with the ash or	
13	waste material, that the at least the	
14	parameters of arsenic, boron, chromium, iron,	
15	lead, and manganese be imposed upon those	
16	discharges at outfalls related to those.	
17	I always understood that the EPA	
18	maybe not just the Illinois EPA but possibly	
19	USEPA something to the effect that dilution is	
20	not a solution, but yet we seem to be following	
21	that practice with this particular source, this	
22	particular permit. I think the real issue here	
23	is that is performance. And regardless of	
24	what we speculate will happen in the future, what	

		Page 92
1	has happened already is that we have in Sugar	
2	Creek impaired waters at least from the beginning	
3	of Sugar Creek as at the exit of the dam from the	
4	Lake Springfield to the South Fork of the	
5	Sangamon River. That is impaired specifically	
6	with respect to boron. I would be interested in	
7	knowing what your best estimate is or judgment is	
8	for the source of that boron, and I would also	
9	say that it is most likely due to power plant	
10	operations, specifically the ash that is being	
11	stored in the Sugar Creek watershed.	
12	Therefore, my concern is not so much	
13	what we're doing specifically in the future with	
14	respect to the discharge but what specifically is	
15	the Illinois EPA doing and requiring for that	
16	portion of Sugar Creek that has been impacted up	
17	to this point.	
18	And with that, I will submit any	
19	further comments in writing. Thank you.	
20	HEARING OFFICER STUDER: Thank you.	
21	Is there anyone else that has not	
22	spoken this evening that would like to make a	
23	comment on the record?	
24	(No response.)	

		Page 93
1	HEARING OFFICERS STUDER: Okay. We	
2	have now gone for two hours. And I know there	
3	was a number of people that still had additional	
4	issues. If you have already spoken but have an	
5	additional comment that you would like to make or	
6	a question that you would like to ask on the	
7	record, I'm going to ask that you raise your	
8	hand.	
9	Okay. We got one, two.	
10	Okay. Sir, if you'd come forward to	
11	the mic. I'm going to limit the time on these to	
12	no longer than three minutes, and if you ask a	
13	question, we may or may not be answering it on	
14	the record, but it will be in the record, and	
15	we'll be providing a response in the	
16	responsiveness summary.	
17	MR. GARNER: Thank you.	
18	COURT REPORTER: I need your name	
19	again.	
20	MR. GARNER: Heath Garner,	
21	G-a-r-n-e-r.	
22	Bacterial growth has a strong	
23	positive correlation to temperature based on the	
24	Journal of Microbial Ecology. With this strong	

		Page 94
1	positive correlation, I find it important that a	
2	study to help clarify the effects on this public	
3	body of water that the people use and expose	
4	children to be looked into.	
5	Posted May 20, 2010, in the	
6	State-Journal Register, in an article shared by	
7	CWLP, E. coli can be an indicator of other	
8	harmful bacteria. Certainly the discharge from	
9	CWLP is affecting this. And it would be CWLP or	
10	the IEPA's responsibility to decrease CWLP's	
11	effects on these issues, and I believe that's why	
12	it would be important that a study be conducted	
13	by CWLP or the IEPA.	
14	Thank you.	
15	HEARING OFFICER STUDER: Thank you,	
16	Mr. Garner.	
17	Cindy.	
18	MS. SKRUKRUD: So since Carl Kamp is	
19	here from the groundwater division, I just and	
20	we all are aware that the mon there's been	
21	monitoring going on groundwater monitoring	
22	around the coal ash ponds at the CWLP site and	
23	that there's been elevated levels of pollutants	
24	above various recommended standards detected in	

		Page 95
1	those wells.	
2	I just wondered if you could tell	
3	explain to us kind of where the Agency is at in	
4	terms of that monitoring program and working with	
5	CWLP to protect those protect those waters and	
6	reduce that contamination.	
7	MR. KAMP: CWLP was given a violation	
8	notice, and they have responded with a plan on	
9	how to mitigate the problem. Well, they have	
10	they have a their plan basically talks about	
11	doing further studies of the groundwater and such	
12	and putting in possibly more wells and such and	
13	then they'd come up with remedies.	
14	At this time, though, we're still	
15	reviewing that information, and that's all I can	
16	tell you.	
17	MS. SKRUKRUD: Okay. Thanks. I just	
18	wanted that kind of summary. Thank you.	
19	Thank you.	
20	HEARING OFFICER STUDER: Thank you,	
21	Cindy.	
22	I remind everyone that the hearing	
23	record in this matter is open or the excuse	
24	me the hearing record will remain open until	

		Page 96
1	May 29th and that we will be accepting written	
2	comments as long as they are received at our	
3	Agency by that date or they can be submitted by	
4	e-mail at epa.publichearingcom@illinois.gov.	
5	That information is in the responsive or in	
6	the hearing notice for this hearing tonight.	
7	I thank you all for your attendance	
8	this evening, and this hearing is adjourned.	
9	(Hearing adjourned at 8:05 P.M.)	
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		

		Page 97
1	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER	
2		
3	STATE OF ILLINOIS)	
) ss.	
4	COUNTY OF SANGAMON)	
5	I, ROBIN A. ENSTROM, a Registered	
6	Professional Reporter and Certified Shorthand	
7	Reporter within and for the State of Illinois, do	
8	hereby certify that the foregoing proceedings	
9	were taken by me to the best of my ability and	
10	thereafter reduced to typewriting under my	
11	direction; that I am neither counsel for, related	
12	to, nor employed by any of the parties to the	
13	action in which these proceedings were taken; and	
14	further that I am not a relative or employee of	
15	any attorney or counsel employed by the parties	
16	thereto, nor financially or otherwise interested	
17	in the outcome of the action.	
18		
19		
20		
21	ROBIN A. ENSTROM	
	Illinois CSR No. 084-002046	
22		
23		
24		

	I	I	I	
A	34:9 39:5 69:11	86:24 87:1,2	apologize 29:14	39:13 41:4 45:5
ability 97:9	78:7 85:7,16	air 6:2,4,14 21:9	appears 45:6	45:6,7,10,13,15
able 23:15 41:10	86:17	87:11,17,19	Appendix 54:23	45:24 48:16,17
55:12 86:17	addressed 39:18	aircraft 5:5	54:24 55:2,14	48:18,19,20,21
accept 8:19 14:11	addresses 19:24	algae 24:12 28:6	applause 13:14	48:24 49:18,20
15:6,8	addressing 52:2	28:10,13,18	applicable 5:14	50:2,13,18 51:1
acceptable 22:2	adequate 44:6	29:3 38:2	17:2 20:1 22:22	51:23 53:18,21
accepted 9:22	adjourn 14:9	alike 21:14	70:14	54:5,17,19 55:9
10:3 22:2	adjourned 96:8,9	allow 7:24 16:4,6	applicant 5:3	55:10 56:3,22
accepting 6:17	Administration	38:7 64:5	12:1	56:24 58:7,11
50:8,13 96:1	47:23	allowed 13:17	applicant's 52:1	58:12,15,18,24
access 7:6	administrative	18:16 61:16	application 5:9	60:24 61:24
accolades 21:13	5:21 6:22 7:2	allowing 21:11	16:24 45:13	62:14,19,22,22
accommodate	17:5 90:3	60:5	applied 90:16	63:2,8,17,21,23
16:10	adult 80:22	allows 15:11	apply 20:20	64:1,4,5,10,10
accounted 57:2	advanced 21:16	alternate 18:5	89:24	64:12 65:1,9,20
accumulates	advances 72:12	alternative 88:5	appreciate 19:22	65:20,21 67:6,8
33:23	adverse 38:18	aluminum 70:9	22:15 24:17	67:14,18 68:4,7
accumulating	adversely 42:22	70:23	59:21 61:12	68:10,17,18,21
32:20	49:15	amount 31:3	67:11	70:5,8 72:10
accurate 18:12	advisories 91:6	37:19	approach 33:5	75:14,22,24
accurately 69:23	advisory 91:5	analyses 21:22	appropriate 7:22	84:5 91:12
Act 4:24 5:5,20	advocate 66:13	analysis 5:24	13:14,16,23	92:10 94:22
43:22 61:21	advocates 35:5	47:19,20 71:19	88:11 89:11	asked 16:11
66:19 77:20	affairs 19:13	72:19 74:15	approval 10:3	44:24
action 44:2 97:13	affect 24:8,12	ancient 62:15	51:24	asking 56:22
97:17	27:16 28:6	Andrew 2:10	approximately	63:12 71:13
actions 14:10	affiliate 42:13	39:24 41:22	20:9	aspect 6:9 23:10
54:2 57:13	age 60:10,13	42:1 49:11 69:9	April 1:17 54:14	assess 53:14
actively 56:4	agency 1:2 3:3	angel 2:17 82:20	aquatic 36:2	assessment 50:23
actual 9:3 17:16	4:8 5:2,7,11	83:4,5,6	37:12 38:2,10	51:2,9 52:15
47:14	10:13 19:6,20	announced 87:20	80:9,18	53:11,13
acute 73:13,23	22:17 23:15	answer 24:23	area 13:8 28:7	assigned 10:17
74:13,17	33:11 41:16	27:4,4,20 41:3	30:7,17 35:20	assistant 3:12
add 27:12 56:15	44:9 51:23	43:8 44:10,23	49:16 53:15	11:12
added 21:23	52:23 54:5	49:22,23 57:9	66:16,22	associate 36:20
27:23	55:11,20 56:15	59:12 67:9 69:5	areas 6:11 14:1	associated 18:19
adding 63:2	58:1,17 59:4,9	75:17 82:1,13	20:11	association 17:22
addition 9:21	63:4 64:8 75:16	answering 76:5	arrive 8:21 15:4	18:1 19:6
20:3	75:21 78:11,23	82:16 93:13	arsenic 33:22	assuming 90:6
additional 7:24	79:6,12 80:4	anti-degradation	54:24 55:8	assurance 30:22
43:12 51:16	95:3 96:3	5:24 47:19,20	65:22 91:14	assurances 63:19
53:14 79:11	Agency's 57:12	48:1,2,10	article 94:6	athlete 40:18
93:3,5	ago 57:23 78:12	anybody 33:11	ash 1:18 11:9	attempt 10:15
additionally 44:4	agriculture 35:7	anymore 62:3	13:2,7,8 21:15	attempting 23:11
address 9:15	ahead 23:22	anyways 56:14	25:19 35:19	attendance 13:14
19:21 23:15	24:23 83:23	an-inch 78:18	37:16 38:5	18:14 96:7
	I	I	I	I

attending 14:8	94:8	80:11 92:7 97:9	Bryan 2:18 89:4	cause 5:5
attention 9:11	bacterial 24:8	better 35:14,15	BTA 39:8	caused 28:14
18:10	27:16 93:22	61:13,14 65:5	build 63:5	39:7
attorney 57:22	bad 33:1 38:4	Beyond 36:21	building 32:3,12	causes 38:22
97:15	bag 87:8,9,10	big 84:13	built 61:5	
audience 18:16	balance 21:22	C	built-in 32:10	causing 84:15 ceased 12:7
		biologist's 81:13		ceased 12:7
81:18 82:3	balanced 78:3	bit 40:5,8,8 52:9 65:3 83:20	bunker 61:4	
August 79:19 80:1	banks 38:6		Bureau 11:13	certainly 32:21
	bar 80:18,24 Barb 8:3	block 61:2	Burns 62:16	34:1 70:24 94:8 CERTIFICATE
authority 4:22		blood 83:19,22	business 8:8	
78:11	based 5:8,11 11:5	84:2	10:13,16	97:1
authorized 14:9	16:22 32:9	blowdown 21:23	businesses 20:10	Certified 97:6
automated 10:14	46:24 93:23	blue 71:2	busy 76:4	certify 97:8
available 7:4	basically 95:10	board 7:1,4 26:7	butchered 60:3	change 63:23
8:13 20:21	bat 24:24 25:8	26:8,12,15,17	B-a-u-e-r 64:19	Chapter 66:14
28:19 30:5,7,14	39:11 P 2:12 (4:16	77:5	B-r-o-w-n 31:18	76:15
38:17 39:5	Bauer 2:13 64:16	Bob 11:1 71:22	B-r-y-a-n 89:5	character 13:22
62:24 74:5,5	64:18,19 65:5,7	bodies 35:24	<u>C</u>	check 31:1 32:18
77:13 79:16	66:8	body 17:22,24	$C = \frac{C}{C 3:1 5:21}$	49:22 50:17
80:10 88:10,14	bearing 6:7	94:3	cadmium 65:22	checked 31:5
Avenue 3:4 9:13	beautiful 60:18	boiler 12:10,12	73:5,8,11,20	chemicals 27:6
avenues 88:10,13	beauty 42:16	12:14	74:6	84:2
average 40:22	began 4:1 21:10	boilers 38:14		children 31:20
47:3,4,24 73:10	31:22	Bonnett 4:9	calculation 21:24	94:4
74:6,7	beginning 30:10	booing 13:16	call 17:20 19:3 84:22	Christine 2:3
averages 74:4	87:20 92:2	bordering 85:6		19:9,13 48:4
avid 34:23	begins 6:10	born 34:21 60:9	called 71:23 72:2	chromium 55:1,9
avoid 16:15	begun 52:3	borne 20:13	90:2	65:22 71:23
awards 20:23	behalf 4:8 19:22	boron 38:3,8	calling 29:14	72:2 91:14
aware 84:20	66:15 76:17	39:15 43:24	calls 71:22	chronic 73:9,11
87:14 94:20	behold 84:1	45:24 46:1	campaign 36:22	73:13 74:3,9,13
	believe 26:23	61:17,20 62:1	cancer 84:5,23	74:17
<u>B</u>	28:13 32:9 34:8	63:3 64:9 65:22	capacity 12:3,11	Cindy 2:14,20
baby 71:2	44:11 45:14	72:3 91:14 92:6	12:13,15	64:17 66:9,11
back 5:16 17:17	50:16,17 71:9	92:8	Capitol 3:21	68:5 74:23
24:15 29:12	77:6 94:11	bottom 68:17	card 8:3,5,7,8	75:15 76:9,16
40:4 45:18 46:3	believes 71:6	Box 9:14	89:6	94:17 95:21
46:9,11,14	beneficial 24:21	boys 60:13	cards 16:2 88:16	cit 35:9
49:21 50:17	48:23	breach 63:21	Carl 3:9 11:6	citizen 18:2 24:1
52:18 60:14,16	beneficially	break 24:21	94:18	35:10 40:11,22
60:17 69:6	50:19	breakages 33:18	carrier 15:3	citizens 20:13
71:21 72:13	benefit 17:6 18:3	brief 7:18 10:24	case 7:12 14:11	41:18
75:5 77:5,9,15	best 37:11 38:17	bring 48:12	32:19 34:1 45:9	city 1:7 4:16,16
77:23 81:23	39:3,4 41:3	brought 30:15	84:10 90:7	11:22 20:16
background 17:7	62:12,23 75:12	46:9	casual 34:10	31:18 37:1
backwash 13:5	75:21 77:13	Brown 2:6 29:18	catfish 60:22	clarification
bacteria 27:24	79:14,15 80:10	31:15,17,17	causative 86:15	14:14 23:12
			l	

clarify 57:16	52:13,16,18	complete 18:8	conservative	6:24 7:4 26:7,7
94:2	73:7 75:5 79:19	47:20	21:24	26:12,15 32:16
clean 5:20 21:12	89:1 93:10	completed 8:2	consider 15:13	32:23 33:10
22:14 27:7	95:13	77:3	36:6 58:2 86:23	39:17 56:10
43:22 61:21	comes 31:10	completing 8:7	consideration	68:23,24 87:11
63:8 66:13,18	45:17	complex 12:6,8	14:22	87:17,19
76:16 77:20	coming 23:7	complexes 12:5	considerations	controls 20:13
cleaning 13:2	28:15 36:15	compliance 5:9	9:6	21:6,16
clear 82:2	41:22 49:5	5:14,19 11:9	considered 16:18	converting 62:18
close 8:17,19	65:16 86:1	19:24 30:22	23:19 59:4	cooled 12:16
14:12 15:16	comment 8:10,18	31:1 43:3,7,13	considering 63:5	cooling 12:17,18
64:12	8:18 9:8 14:12	52:18 54:7,8	consist 67:5	12:24 13:4 21:4
closed-cycle 39:8	15:1,9,16 20:2	64:9 66:18	consists 12:5,8	21:17 37:14
77:12 82:10	22:17 29:8 56:1	comply 17:1	12:22	38:14 39:8,8
closes 17:15	89:18 90:24	22:22	constituent 55:3	67:6,19 77:12
closure 6:21	92:23 93:5	component 22:19	constituents 55:1	80:7,19 82:11
Club 66:14,15	commented 48:5	54:22	constructed	copies 7:3 30:15
76:15	comments 6:10	components	21:15 60:24	copper 72:3
Club's 36:21	6:17 7:21 8:6	53:10	consulting 32:1	copy 7:7 30:4,9
clusters 84:23	8:10,20 9:5,8	comprise 21:1	consumption	correct 31:3,4
coal 13:3 22:4	9:17,21 10:1,4	con 7:22 70:10	47:23	85:23
35:19 36:21	10:12,15 13:21	concentration	contact 7:6 10:18	correctly 10:11
37:2,16 38:5	13:24 14:12,18	73:20 74:2	67:8,14 68:7	correlation 93:23
39:12,17,19	14:20,21,22	concern 64:24	75:14 91:12	94:1
41:4 45:24	15:2,7,8,12,13	65:8,18 71:1	contacting 68:11	correspondence
47:23 48:17	15:15,18,20	92:12	contacts 68:4	59:8
49:18 50:18	16:11,12,13,14	concerned 18:2	contained 4:23	costs 20:11
54:13 55:3 56:3	18:8,12,15 20:3	24:1 35:18 36:1	contaminants	counsel 3:12
58:11 60:24	42:3 55:6 69:14	36:2,24 37:15	41:4 51:6 91:8	11:12 97:11,15
65:1,8 67:8,14	89:9,10 90:24	37:20 61:9,10	contamination	County 42:11
68:4 70:4,5,8	92:19 96:2	65:17,23 85:18	59:6 95:6	97:4
75:14 83:21	commercial	87:23	content 10:2	couple 30:15
84:5,9,18 94:22	20:10 21:10	concerning 7:11	contested 7:12	40:16 45:21
coal-fired 12:10	commit 72:20	concerns 13:13	context 50:1,7	83:21 89:9
12:12,14 62:15	commitment	35:8,9 58:18,20	57:19 59:6,17	course 13:15
Code 5:21 6:22	20:6 22:20	66:16 84:2	85:17 86:18	court 3:19 17:3
7:2	committed 8:24	conclusion 74:8	88:12	17:18 18:3,11
coin 83:7,12	communities	concrete 61:4	contin 52:14	25:14,24 26:9
coli 94:7	42:17 63:21	condenser 12:23	continuance 90:3	27:2 42:8 44:16
collect 45:14	community	13:4 67:5,20	continue 15:6	60:6 79:3,22
column 73:19	20:17 22:8	condition 51:20	16:7 22:21 38:7	93:18
combined 12:10	35:16 37:3	52:2,22 70:2,7	62:19	covers 50:18
combustion 22:4	64:20 65:19	conditions 72:15	continued 78:11	co-worker 86:7
54:13 55:4 70:4	66:1,3	91:6	contractors	create 36:7 44:9
come 17:20 19:3	compare 74:3	conduct 7:22	22:10,11	Creek 12:21 21:8
29:12 34:7	compete 40:20	14:8 15:23	contracts 22:3	24:9,13 27:17
41:13 47:13,14	competing 41:1	conducted 94:12	control 6:5,8,9	27:19,20 37:18
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

	1	1	1	1
38:3 41:6 42:11	D 2:1	84:1	dialogue 18:13	disgusting 60:23
42:21 45:16	daily 47:3,4	decided 60:16	difference 16:17	disposal 57:6
49:14 51:5	Dallman 12:6,7,9	decision 5:7,11	different 28:1	68:18
60:15,18 61:11	12:11,14,16,18	8:12 9:2,3	69:18	distance 61:23
61:17,20 63:3	12:23 13:3,3,4	16:21 57:12	diluted 62:6,8	distribute 30:4
66:24 76:20	13:6 21:11 37:2	72:18	dilution 91:19	distributed 10:10
78:5 84:10 92:2	42:7 47:21 48:5	deck 61:6	direct 6:7 18:10	district 69:2
92:3,11,16	51:2 54:19	decrease 94:10	directed 18:15	81:13
criticized 32:17	58:10 62:13	decreases 21:19	direction 97:11	ditches 13:6
32:23	67:6	deemed 22:1	directly 58:11	division 94:19
Crown 61:6	dam 60:12 61:5	deep 60:20	director 4:8	doctor 83:16,16
crunch 41:11	63:5 92:3	deeply 36:24	19:14 35:4	83:24 85:24
CSR 3:19,20	damage 38:22	degrade 42:23	dis 68:16 76:24	86:5
97:21	84:6	degraded 38:11	disagree 14:17	documents 9:9
curious 31:6	damaging 38:15	degree 26:22	disagreeing	9:11 16:24
83:23	Dan 81:14	deliver 40:16	86:12	17:12 46:23
current 51:8 52:1	dangerous 36:9	demonstrated	discharge 4:14	56:8
78:13	38:5 65:21	78:1	5:23 6:18 12:20	doing 29:6 32:15
currently 37:24	data 9:9,11 30:24	demonstrates	13:3,7 24:3,7	46:19 53:4 63:1
44:7,12 75:23	31:10 47:22	20:21	24:11 25:12,17	81:14,24 92:13
80:6	57:17 58:2,4	demonstration	25:20 27:13,15	92:15 95:11
customers 20:9	72:11,18 78:24	77:7	36:11 37:17	Donald 2:12 49:6
CWLP 4:17 9:12	78:24 80:4	department	38:8 39:12,14	60:4
9:16 10:7 11:22	date 9:3 15:7	20:22 21:5	42:5,6,23 44:19	double-check
19:14,22 20:2	17:16 90:1,5	58:22 59:10	45:5,8,13,16	26:24 27:2,3
20:16 21:10	96:3	depend 9:4 17:16	46:1,10 50:18	30:19
22:1,3,7 26:6	day 37:17 63:4	35:21 76:18,19	67:18,20 68:4	downstream
28:23 30:20,24	78:13 79:20	depends 38:11	68:16 70:14	63:20
31:8 32:8,19	days 10:16 31:4	describe 51:7	71:5 76:24	dozen 60:13
33:17 35:13	90:1	52:1	85:14,15,17	draft 4:20 6:18
37:10 38:7,24	dead 62:10	designed 10:1	92:14 94:8	12:19 17:11
39:16 41:14	deadlines 41:13	48:6	discharged 24:5	19:23 20:4,5
43:4,21 44:4,7	deal 49:18 58:23	desire 5:12,13	25:3 26:5,20	22:17 42:4 47:2
51:4,17 56:16	dealing 6:2 43:12	desk 30:10	36:10 37:7,20	67:3,11 69:23
61:12,13,16,19	54:8 88:3	Destroyed 61:2	46:12	72:1,4
62:14,17 66:18	deals 48:3 50:22	desulfurization	discharges 37:14	drainage 13:6
67:17 69:14	51:20 53:18	68:20	39:15 45:23	draw 42:18,20
72:17 75:23	54:12 58:6	detail 68:9 69:5	48:8,15 56:23	49:12,13
77:24 78:12	85:13	90:20	57:2 63:17 67:5	drawing 80:17
79:6,10 81:7	dealt 58:21	detected 94:24	75:13 77:19	drift 6:10 14:1
90:15 94:7,9,9	Dean 3:3 4:6	determination	91:8,16	drink 34:22
94:13,22 95:5,7	9:11 46:19	4:18 79:15	discuss 51:5	35:17 40:21
CWLP's 20:6	dean.studer@i	determine 53:15	discussed 6:6	drinking 20:19
90:6 94:10	3:5	determining	discussing 87:21	36:4 37:9 41:1
cyclone 12:9	decades 22:8	75:12	disease 84:18	63:10 65:11
D	61:19	develop 19:23	88:4	66:4,22 76:19
	Decatur 83:17	44:5	diseases 6:5 84:9	drinks 35:10
	I	ı	I .	

		_	1	1
Drive 11:24	20:12 21:4,5	environment	estimates 46:22	expeditious 9:2
drop 60:21	electronic 10:12	20:6 22:6,20	46:22,24 47:1,2	expiration 90:1
dry 21:15 48:16	10:17	34:18 37:2	evaluate 73:16	expired 90:11
48:21 49:20	electronically	environmental	74:13	explain 7:13 68:9
50:2 56:22	10:9	1:2 3:3 4:7,24	evaluation 71:14	74:18 86:19
62:14,22 63:23	elevated 72:9	14:16 18:18	71:21 72:9,23	95:3
64:10 75:22	94:23	20:13 21:14	79:7 80:4	explanation
due 38:1,3 39:6	eliminate 39:9	38:18 41:15	evening 4:3	68:11
59:2 92:9	elimination 4:15	64:8,23	11:15 13:17	expose 94:3
dump 61:20 62:4	39:14	environmentally	16:11 18:22	exposure 36:3
62:6,8	Elizabeth 2:8	48:14	34:15 41:24	express 14:20
dumping 63:2	34:14 36:18	EPA 4:13,18,22	49:8 86:18	expressed 65:18
duration 89:15	embankment	6:16 7:11,15	87:21 88:17	81:19
dust 39:18 56:10	53:20	8:11,15,22,24	92:22 96:8	expressing 35:8
duty 5:2	emergency 13:9	9:13 10:20 11:3	event 16:9 63:21	extend 33:18
	emissions 6:3,5	11:8,12 14:11	eventually 86:9	extent 39:13
E	68:22,24	14:13,24 15:4	everybody 69:22	53:15
E 2:1 3:1,1,9 94:7	employed 97:12	16:22 17:9 20:4	evolving 81:2	e-mail 9:21 10:4
earlier 21:6	97:15	22:2 30:19	exactly 7:9 29:21	10:15 96:4
79:13	employee 97:14	35:14 43:4 51:3	45:17 57:10	E-mails 9:24
early 15:4 21:21	employees 22:9	56:17 61:14,16	example 20:6	10:9
Earthjustice	employment	61:19 63:7	56:9 62:13 73:4	e-p-a 9:23
84:3	42:20 49:13	75:11 78:16	exceed 54:23	
east 1:18 3:4 9:13	encourage 58:1	90:14 91:10,17	55:2 61:21	F
13:6 60:12	energy 47:22	91:18 92:15	73:13,22 74:9	facilities 42:7
easy 7:5	48:13 88:5	EPA's 6:20 51:1	78:1	56:4
ECHO 43:21	engaged 35:6	58:14	exceedances 55:8	facility 5:4 9:20
ecological 38:22	engineer 42:2	epa.publichear	55:9	12:2,5,20 17:1
Ecology 93:24	engineering	9:22	exceeded 91:5	37:4,8 38:16
education 40:13	21:13 32:1,2	epa.publichear	exceeding 55:14	50:15 77:3 80:6
effect 29:8 91:19	62:16	10:5 96:4	exceeds 20:19	82:6
effective 54:15	engineers 21:21	equipment 5:4	excess 28:10,13	fact 61:15
effects 33:24 34:4	31:24 61:7	6:8	28:14 29:3,4	factor 73:6
37:1 94:2,11	enjoyable 22:12	equivalent 77:12	38:8	factors 9:4,6
efficient 22:21	Enstrom 3:19	80:10 82:10	excuse 7:23	17:17
efficiently 4:11	97:5,21	error 72:16	21:17 95:23	failing 44:5
effluent 27:19	ensure 4:10 9:18	especially 22:20	exeed 26:22	failure 32:18,24
71:20 72:8,10	15:17,23 18:11	84:13 87:20	exhaust 21:19	fair 90:13
72:22 73:11,19	41:17 44:9	establish 51:21	exhibit 10:16	fall 15:20
74:2 75:13	55:12,13	56:12	15:18 23:3	families 35:21
77:19 78:1	entertain 85:3	established 64:3	exhibits 9:10	family 31:21
efforts 19:22	entirety 15:15	77:20	existing 12:1	60:10
61:12	entrainment	establishing	exists 73:13	far 45:6 52:11
eight 24:22	39:7 77:1 78:15	41:17	exit 92:3	91:2
either 73:13	78:18 79:1,12	estimate 47:14	expect 48:12	Farmer 60:14
74:10 91:5	79:17 80:8,17	92:7	expected 53:9	farmers 35:6,21
electric 12:2 20:8	80:17	estimated 47:5	54:3 70:4	farms 21:1 28:16
	00.17	commateu 77.5	J-1.5 / U.T	

fashion 15:24	folks 33:11 34:7	further 7:20 22:5	15:13 16:2	groudwater
feasible 39:13	follow 22:16	38:10 52:15,17	24:22 64:20	55:18
fed 12:10	36:16 62:14	53:13,14 74:15	go 23:22 24:15	groundwater 3:9
federal 55:16	followed 7:19	88:2 92:19	24:23 27:10	11:7,8 12:23
80:1 84:11	10:23 19:10	95:11 97:14	34:23 43:10	35:18,19 37:22
Federation 42:13	23:6 29:17	future 33:19 53:6	49:21 50:17	51:22 53:16
feel 27:23	31:15 34:14	63:13,23 64:6	61:6 64:11 66:2	56:12 57:3 59:6
FGD 55:10	39:23 41:23	64:11 79:7	69:6 71:10	85:21 86:9 91:6
field 60:14	49:6 64:16 66:9	91:24 92:13	72:13,17 78:6	94:19,21 95:11
file 26:24 49:22	76:11 82:19		82:22 83:16	group 13:23
filed 10:12 20:3	following 7:23	<u> </u>	86:24 87:1,2	groups 21:14
fill 89:6	89:8 91:20	G 3:8	88:9,9,11 90:20	growing 27:24
final 8:11 9:1	follow-up 86:5	gallons 37:16	goal 62:12 64:3	growth 24:8,12
16:21 23:20	footnote 74:15	63:4	goes 48:19 49:20	27:16 28:6 29:4
54:13,14 78:10	force 63:22 64:8	garbage 62:4,6,9	62:10 68:1,1,21	93:22
78:16	foregoing 97:8	Garner 2:4,5,19	68:21	guess 47:8,9 84:7
financial 63:19	Forest 60:10	19:11 23:5,6,22	going 4:5 7:14	guessing 59:9
financially 97:16	Fork 35:1 92:4	23:23,24 24:17	11:17,21 16:1	77:21
find 86:13 94:1	form 32:1 79:14	25:4,9 26:4	29:4 33:23	guidance 70:3
fine 54:10	formal 20:3	27:5,14 28:4,21	43:16 46:5,20	Gulf 62:10
finish 40:12	forth 5:20 31:4	29:11,15,17,19	48:23 53:6,7	G-a-r-n-e-r
firm 32:1	fortunate 40:14	29:20 30:2,18	55:22 61:19	23:24 29:20
first 7:14 18:5,6	40:17,18,24	31:6,12 93:17	63:22 64:5	93:21
19:9 25:1 43:1	forward 17:20	93:20,20 94:16	65:16 69:6 71:5	
43:3 49:18	19:4 36:16	gas 62:18 68:19	81:21 86:22	Н
55:15 60:12	41:22 49:5 66:2	general 17:7,10	87:18,18 89:1,7	habitat 22:12
61:18 67:2 77:2	89:1 93:10	33:17	90:18 93:7,11	38:11
78:16	fossil-fuel 12:2	generally 65:9	94:21	hand 15:11 19:2
fish 39:6,10 78:4	found 6:22 7:1	generated 56:3,8	Golden 2:16	81:23 93:8
78:20,21 80:21	28:22 60:14,23	generating 12:2	76:11 82:19	handling 21:15
80:22,23 81:11	70:8 71:21,21	12:3,9,10,13,15	good 4:2 11:15	48:17 62:14,20
81:13,15,24	four 12:8 23:9	12:16 42:7	34:15 40:15,15	62:22,23 63:23
fishery 81:10	79:10	generation 11:23	41:24 46:13	64:5,10,10
fishes 35:23	four-foot 60:19	20:12 21:4	49:8 62:13	75:22,24
fishing 34:24	frame 90:8	Geologic 58:10	68:11 70:16	Hanrahan 2:12
five 89:24	free 41:8 63:3	getting 27:19	81:11	49:6,7 59:24
flaws 32:10	frequency 52:23	37:22 41:5	government 19:6	60:4,4,7,8
floodplain 58:16	frequent 59:2	59:15	governmental	Hanson 21:21
flow 34:3 47:2,3	frequently 89:16	give 11:18,21	17:22,24	31:23
69:22	friendly 48:14	24:20 68:11	Graham's 60:14	happen 31:7 33:3
flows 47:4,11,15	friends 34:24	75:17 85:24	Grand 3:4 9:13	33:15 91:3,24
69:11,14,24	65:13	given 14:21	grant 26:7	happened 83:15
flue 68:19	fry 80:21	16:13 23:19	granted 26:14	92:1
fly 68:17	fudge 33:9	27:22 38:24	66:17 78:12	happy 69:22 88:8
focus 18:18 54:4	fugitive 56:10	43:6 52:20	great 65:12	90:22
54:5,8	fundamentally	79:10 95:7	greater 41:17	hard 20:22 47:13
focused 76:23	38:4	giving 10:24	73:9	harmed 37:13
	-			

	_			_
harmful 94:8	64:15 65:2,6	highway 61:3	51:3 56:17	included 15:17
Hath 19:11 23:5	66:7 74:21 75:2	Hills 60:11	58:10,14,22	54:3 70:6,11
29:14	75:6 76:8 80:15	hire 33:11	62:6,7 64:7	includes 43:23
Hayden 2:5 23:6	82:15,17,23	hissing 13:16	66:13 75:11	54:24 67:11
29:17,20	83:3,9,13 84:19	holding 6:16	76:15 90:14	68:17
hazard 54:19,21	84:24 85:12,13	hope 38:19	91:10,18 92:15	including 9:4
head 30:2	85:13,19,23	hopefully 90:11	97:3,7,21	17:17 20:24
headquarters	86:11,20,24	hot 37:14 38:21	illinois.gov 9:24	21:17
8:22	87:10,13,16,18	Hotel 61:7	IL0024767 1:8	income 42:20
health 33:24 34:1	88:7,8,15,20,24	hour 1:20 26:22	9:20 10:8	49:13
34:4,18 40:15	90:17 92:20	hours 10:13 93:2	immense 60:24	incorporate
40:15 41:18	93:1 94:15	house 87:10	impact 20:24	53:10 55:20
42:16 64:22	95:20,22,24	houses 87:8,9	21:20 22:6	incorporating
65:24 84:15	96:6,6,8,9	huge 60:23	35:18 38:18	20:1
87:23 88:2 91:5	hearings 6:21	hydrated 40:23	impacted 35:24	increased 48:9
health-damagi	heartfelt 13:13	hydrogeologic	53:15 57:3	increases 48:3
33:20	heat 21:19	50:23 51:2,8	92:16	indefinite 64:6
hear 5:16 11:20	heated 27:22	53:10	impacts 5:22	independent
17:8 19:16 40:4	28:17	H-a-n-r-a-h-a-n	35:20 51:5	32:14 33:4
79:23	Heath 2:4,19	60:8	impaired 38:1,3	62:17
heard 19:17	23:24 29:14		92:2,5	indicate 5:17 8:5
hearing 1:17 3:2	93:20	I	impinged 78:20	18:1 19:1 39:16
4:1,2,7,9,12	heaven 83:6	idea 38:4 51:13	impingement	88:23 89:7
5:18 6:4,6,13	heavy 33:21	ideal 62:21	39:6 77:1 78:15	indicated 16:4
6:14,17,19 7:8	65:21,24	IDNR 59:3 81:14	79:1,11,16 80:8	indicating 10:16
7:10,12,14,21	held 1:17 6:19	IEPA 3:7 28:23	80:16,22	17:1
7:22,23 8:17	Hello 19:12	37:4,10 38:16	impingement/e	indication 85:24
9:7,10,12,16,19	29:19 64:18	38:19 39:3,11	81:17,20 82:7,9	indicator 94:7
10:4 11:19	help 9:18 35:15	51:4 66:1 94:13	implemented	indigenous 78:3
13:10,15,24	40:8 94:2	IEPA's 73:15	57:14	individuals 40:17
14:4,7,8,10,19	helpful 68:14	94:10	importance	industrial 3:10
14:23 15:14,17	69:20 89:14	ILCS 5:1	22:14	11:17 13:1
15:21,24 16:14	helping 40:16	Illinois 1:1,19 3:3	important 22:7	information 7:11
17:10,11,14,15	hereunder 5:6	3:4,20,22 4:7	22:10 64:21,22	10:19 39:1
18:10,13,18,21	hexavalent 71:23	4:13,18,22,24	91:4 94:1,12	47:22 52:9 72:6
18:24 19:15,18	72:2	5:21 6:16,20,22	impose 15:24	79:11,13 81:7
19:21 23:1,4,16	he'll 23:5 29:17	6:24 7:1,11,15	91:10	95:15 96:5
23:19 24:14,19	31:15 34:13	8:11,15,22,24	imposed 41:14	informational
25:5,21 26:19	39:23 41:23	9:13,14 10:20	91:15	4:12 7:8,9
29:13,16 30:6	49:6 64:16	11:3,8,12,24	impoundment	infrequent 59:3
30:13 31:14	Hi 11:1,11 36:17	12:1 14:11,13	51:1,23 63:8	initially 16:5,7
34:12 36:13	40:1 76:12	14:24 15:4 16:21 17:9 20:4	impoundments	inspecting 59:3
39:21 40:3,6	high 1:18 13:8		11:10 54:5 57:1	installation
41:20 43:11,17	38:1,8 39:15	22:2 26:7,11,14 29:2 34:17 35:7	58:7	47:21
47:6 49:3 55:7	78:19	36:23 41:15	include 5:19	installed 52:4,5
56:21 57:18	higher 40:13	42:16 50:24	21:24 52:24	instructions 7:20
59:14,22 60:2	highest 73:21	42.10 30.24	67:5 70:8 82:7	7:24
	ı	ı	ı	I

	1	1	î	•
intake 13:4 46:8	jeering 13:16	knew 60:18	81:24 92:4	37:19 38:9
67:18 80:7,23	Jerry 2:13 64:16	83:21	lakes 29:2 42:15	67:15 71:20,24
intakes 37:13	64:18	know 26:17	Lakeside 12:6,6	72:3,14 75:13
integrated 28:11	Joanne 3:12	27:11,21 28:3,8	21:12 22:1 42:6	77:19 78:2
intend 14:4	11:11	28:9,18 31:7	53:18 54:17	line 10:9,11
interested 92:6	John 2:9 36:16	34:3,7 41:1,2,5	lake's 28:16	48:12 60:21
97:16	39:23 40:1	41:8,9,12 44:14	landfill 13:8	74:22
interesting 34:3	Johnsrud 2:18	44:22 49:19	48:22 50:14	lined 60:19 64:4
intermittent 42:5	88:22 89:4,5	52:11 53:23	larger 80:23	lining 64:1
Internet 30:14	90:13,23	57:12 59:11	lasting 42:16	link 84:5
interpret 26:10	Journal 93:24	60:11 63:11,18	lasts 90:3	linking 84:8
interrupt 6:11	judgment 37:5	65:10,15 69:10	latest 20:20	Lisa 4:8
14:1	39:4 75:12,22	69:21 71:15	37:11 72:5	list 28:12
introduce 7:15	79:14 80:11	73:3,5 77:8	law 60:16	listed 9:15 37:24
10:21 48:15	92:7	79:19 83:20	laws 5:15 17:2	47:1
involve 23:14	justified 61:23	84:11,16,22	lax 41:15	listen 14:16
involved 13:19	J-o-h-n-s-r-u-d	85:5 86:22 87:3	leach 36:9	22:16
23:10	89:6	87:5,12,24,24	lead 65:23 78:19	listing 50:12
involvement 7:17		89:14 90:19	91:15	lists 30:11
involving 6:4	K	91:2 93:2	leader 61:13	liter 73:21
iron 44:1 65:22	K 76:13	knowing 92:7	leads 74:8 80:7	Litigation 3:21
91:14	Kamp 3:9 11:6,6	knows 66:21	leave 32:5 83:1	little 40:5,8,8
issuance 16:23	51:10,14,18	K-i-n-g 34:16	left 31:24 72:15	52:9 65:3 83:19
issue 5:3 6:12	52:4,13 53:1,12		legal 11:13 33:18	90:20
14:3 15:22	53:23 54:4	L	legally 90:11	live 34:22 35:21
22:18 28:15,24	55:15,22 94:18	labeled 45:4	legibly 8:7	42:18 49:12
38:16 40:23	95:7	laboratory 32:15	lengthy 15:12	65:13,13 66:15
57:18,22 69:10	Katrina 2:15	72:12	lesser 68:7	76:17
72:6 73:2 91:22	66:10 76:10,12	lady 83:8	letting 33:17	lives 35:8
issued 5:12,14	76:13	lagoon 27:10	let's 6:14 33:1	living 31:21
43:4 71:16 81:5	kayaker 34:23	lake 12:17,21	46:21	loading 48:4
89:19	kayaking 34:24	20:15 21:3,4,8	level 71:7	loads 48:9
issues 5:18 6:2,4	kayaks 35:24	21:18,20 22:11	levels 38:1,8	local 22:10 36:22
6:14 8:16 9:1	keep 6:14 15:18	22:21 24:8,12	54:24 55:2,14	81:12
13:12,19 14:14	17:6 45:22	27:16,21,23	61:20 71:1,10	locally 83:8
14:16 15:11,19	46:20	28:1,9,12 29:5	72:10 94:23	locals 81:11
18:18 23:12,16	keeping 22:14	35:1 36:11	Lieberoff 8:4,8	located 11:23
44:3 59:15	kick 34:6	37:12,17,23,24	life 31:22 35:4	58:11
69:11,17 77:1	killed 80:24	38:6,12,14,15	36:2 37:12	locations 70:15
78:15 85:1	kills 39:6,10	38:23 40:20	38:11 80:18	long 8:20 15:10
87:19 90:18	kind 32:10 52:17	41:2,5 42:10,21	lifelong 34:20	71:15 81:5
93:4 94:11	69:17 71:18	45:5,10,23 46:8	Light 1:7 4:17	89:15 96:2
issuing 11:14	87:5 95:3,18	46:12 49:13	11:23 37:1	longer 93:12
38:20	kinds 31:2	65:13,14 66:5	lime 27:13	long-time 31:18
	King 2:7 31:16	66:20 71:2,5	limit 16:1 44:19	look 28:2,19
J	34:13,15,15	76:18,20 78:4	72:8,22 93:11	34:10 45:17,22
January 12:7	36:14	80:22 81:9,14	limits 11:5 31:1	58:1 61:7 62:17
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

72.5.01.22.02.0	M.D. 1162.16	l . ,	1 26.24	1 120 16
73:5 81:23 82:8	McDonnell 62:16	microphone	months 26:24	natural 20:16
85:9	meals 40:17	44:16	55:5 56:11,12	58:22
looked 94:4	mean 50:5,10	Midwest 3:21	mortality 78:20	nature 13:22
looking 40:23	84:12 87:1 88:1	milligrams 73:21	78:22	86:16
41:12 46:23	meaning 54:15	million 37:16	Mosher 3:8 11:1	nearly 10:2
53:13	means 7:8 56:2	63:3	11:2 26:11	necessarily 57:20
looks 19:11	74:16 80:17,22	mind 45:22	27:18 28:8 29:1	necessary 22:19
73:10	84:14	mine 50:14 58:12	29:23 30:4,8,21	78:2
lose 86:19	measures 37:6	58:13	31:8 43:6 44:10	necessity 63:2
lost 79:3	meet 77:12 80:3	minimize 20:24	45:15 46:3,13	need 10:6 15:3
lot 12:24 32:7	80:9	21:7 79:16	46:20 47:11	42:8 52:14,15
36:12 65:16,17	meeting 33:7	minimizing 22:5	48:2,16,21 68:3	52:18,18 68:8
65:18 90:24	51:17	38:18 81:16	68:22 69:4	72:13 75:3 81:8
low 84:1	meets 4:19 20:18	minimum 17:8	70:12 71:4 72:7	81:21 85:3
lower 65:3	Megan 2:16	minutes 16:1	73:17 74:1,12	86:13,19 88:4
	76:11 82:19,21	18:8 93:12	75:15 76:1,4	89:1 93:18
mail 15:3,3	82:23	miscellaneous	80:13 82:12	needed 52:7
mailed 15:3	megawatts 12:4	12:22	motive 13:22	needs 35:5 42:19
main 45:15 64:24	12:11,13,15	Mississippi 62:8	Move 44:16	81:19 89:17
65:8	member 18:2	62:9	moved 31:23	neither 97:11
maintain 51:22	members 3:7	mitigate 95:9	60:10	nerve 84:5
making 46:11	14:7,13 18:13	mixes 62:1	movement 51:6	Network 42:2,12
86:3	18:15 36:22	modifications	multiple 10:2	42:17 49:11
man 61:10	42:18 49:12	51:16	23:14 24:2,20	new 21:11 78:13
management	65:19 66:15	modified 42:4	multiplier 74:7	78:24 79:18,21
75:17	76:17	moment 59:20	multiply 73:6	79:24 80:3,3
manganese 65:23	memo 73:4,18 74:18	moments 57:23 mon 94:20	multitude 91:7	newspaper 81:12 nickel 71:24
91:15	mentioned 49:11	money 84:13	municipal 20:7	72:10
manner 9:2 14:6	52:21 89:12	monitor 70:17	N	nine 31:24
32:14 90:16	mercury 37:20	71:8	N2:13:1	nitrate 70:9
map 45:7	67:12,15 83:18	monitored 46:1	name 4:6 11:1,6	nitrate/nitrite
mapping 58:9,14	85:15	52:8	11:11,15 17:20	70:24
March 43:4	merits 5:8	monitoring	17:21 18:4,5,6	nitrite 70:9
mass 21:22	mesh 78:17	31:10 36:8	18:7 19:3,4,7	Nodded 30:2
material 91:13	mess 63:8	51:10 50:8	19:12 23:23	noise 17:7
materials 22:4	met 33:19 51:4	52:15 54:6	29:19 31:17	noncompliance
32:18 33:21	metal 13:2	56:12 63:12,13	34:16 36:17	43:2
34:3	metals 33:21	63:17 67:12,15	40:1 41:24 49:8	nonprofit 42:14
matter 1:6 4:13	37:21 39:12	70:2,11 71:23	59:24 64:18	non-chemical
5:8 6:10 8:12	65:21,24	70.2,11 71.23	66:11,12 83:5	13:2
8:19 9:2,3	Metro 69:1	91:10 94:21,21	83:10 89:2,3,4	non-point 28:15
14:20 17:12	Mexico 62:10	95:4	89:5 93:18	non-potable
23:4 32:6 33:16	mic 11:20 23:8	month 31:4,10	nameplate 12:11	42:19
87:5 95:23	40:8 65:3 89:2	47:12	12:13,15	non-source 21:1
maximum 39:13	93:11	monthly 31:9	National 4:14	normal 10:13
73:19 74:2	Microbial 93:24	74:4	42:13	89:20
	1.11CI ODIMI 75.2T	' ' ' '		32.20

	·	·	·	1
Norman 2:6	53:2	77:24 78:9,14	23:19	91:14
29:18 31:15,17	office 45:19	79:8,24 82:4,14	order 28:23	Park 60:10
North 3:4 9:13	46:14 49:22	83:3 87:13	40:22 43:3	parking 12:24
northern 53:20	69:7	88:15,20,21,24	79:13	part 5:1 6:22
notable 54:22	Officer 3:2 4:2,7	90:23 93:1,9,10	organisms 21:20	9:18 22:7 32:9
note 13:15 54:17	9:12 10:4 11:19	95:17	80:9,20,21	34:19 68:20
55:21 72:9 91:4	13:10 14:4	old 3:21 72:11	organization	91:8
noted 28:10	18:24 19:15,18	90:10	17:22,24 19:5	particular 91:21
notice 9:15 17:11	23:1 24:14,19	older 21:12	35:4 42:14	91:22
52:19 58:9 95:8	25:5,21 26:19	Olson 3:12 11:11	organizer 76:16	particularly
96:6	29:13,16 30:6	11:12 26:13	organizing 36:20	35:17 36:7
noticed 69:12	30:13 31:14	50:4,10,16 57:5	original 51:17	37:15 91:11
notified 8:11	34:12 36:13	58:20 59:7,11	originating 9:24	parties 33:6
not-for-profit	39:21 40:3,6	77:4,15,23 78:6	outcome 97:17	97:12,15
20:7	41:20 43:11,17	89:23	outdoor 22:13	party 33:7
NPDES 1:8 4:16	47:6 49:3 56:21	once 16:16,17,19	outfall 21:22	pathways 34:2
6:7,15 7:1 9:12	57:18 59:14,22	18:6 61:24	30:11 43:24	people 5:12,13
9:17,17,19 10:7	60:2 64:15 65:2	64:24	44:1,2 45:4,4,6	13:23 16:22
10:8 11:14	65:6 66:7 74:21	once-through	45:16,23 46:7	23:9,14 32:15
14:17 18:19	75:2,6 76:8	12:17 39:7 80:7	46:10,21,22,24	32:17,23 37:3,9
19:23 22:18	82:17,23 83:3,9	ongoing 53:22	67:20,22 68:1	41:10 46:14
31:9 42:4 57:8	83:13 84:19,24	open 95:23,24	69:15 71:24	66:22 75:3
57:20,21 58:23	85:12,23 86:11	opening 10:24	72:1	84:15 87:23
59:17 85:2,7	86:24 87:10,13	11:22 64:20	outfalls 29:24	93:3 94:3
86:14 88:12	87:16 88:7,20	operate 12:1	47:7,12 48:8	percent 26:22
89:16,23	88:24 90:17	operating 12:8	67:3,12,13,16	47:24 73:7
number 9:4,5,17	92:20 94:15	56:4 90:10	68:2,5,10,16	82:10
9:19 10:8,16	95:20	operation 12:7	69:15,24 91:11	performance
17:16 34:24	OFFICERS 93:1	21:11	91:16	91:23
49:11,17 52:5	off-site 51:6	operations 22:22	outside 4:4 15:20	performed 21:22
56:5,7 67:1	Oh 53:1	92:10	50:20 57:7	28:23
69:19 70:6 73:5	okay 5:17 18:24	operator 55:3,13	59:15 74:18	period 8:10,18
73:7,8 80:9	19:18 24:14,19	56:9	87:3,6	8:18 9:8 14:12
93:3	30:8 40:6 43:9	operators 56:2	outstanding 9:1	15:1,16 47:15
numbers 30:19	45:2,21 46:5,13	opinion 87:5	overall 74:6	permit 1:8 3:11
69:16,22	46:17 47:18	opinions 41:9,10	overflow 13:9	4:16,20,21,23
numerous 44:7	49:1,24 50:21	opportunity 7:10	overseeing 33:10	5:3,12,13 6:7
nutrients 29:3	51:12,15 52:12	16:3 19:21		6:13,14,15,19
	53:8,17 54:1,10	22:15 36:19	P	6:21 7:12,17,19
0	55:24 59:18	42:3 59:21	P 3:1,1 61:14	9:19 10:22,24
object 14:17	62:5,7,9,11	64:21	page 2:2 17:10	11:4,16,16
obtain 7:7	65:7 67:10	oppose 16:23	30:10	12:19 13:20
obtaining 4:20	68:13 69:3,8	opposite 71:8	paid 32:16	14:17 15:20
October 54:16	73:1,23 74:11	options 88:13	panel 3:7 7:15	16:23 17:11
69:13 71:22	74:16,19,19	oral 8:6 14:22	10:20 14:7	18:19 19:24,24
73:18	75:2,4,10,19	15:8,9 16:10	18:11,14 84:20	22:18 23:11
offhand 28:3	76:3 77:10,17	orally 16:14	parameters	30:1,5,9 31:1,9
	l	l	l	l

	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		ı
36:5 37:19 38:9	physically 8:21	16:16,17 23:8	positive 93:23	prevent 55:13
38:16,21 41:16	Physicians 84:4	23:13 40:7 77:7	94:1	78:18
42:4 44:13 45:1	pick 30:9	86:3,14 89:11	possibility 33:13	prevention 44:6
46:6 50:1,7,18	pile 13:3 39:17	92:17	possible 71:9	44:8
50:20 54:3 57:2	pit 58:11 60:24	points 12:20	possibly 80:24	previous 47:1
57:4,8,20,21	60:24	29:21	91:18 95:12	63:12
58:23 59:17	pits 55:14 58:12	policy 23:13,16	post 17:9	primarily 14:14
61:19 63:5,15	58:15,18 61:24	49:10 75:15	posted 17:12,13	14:15 23:12
63:16,20,24	63:2,9,17,22	76:2 77:21	94:5	prints 81:12
64:4 66:2,17	64:1,4,12 65:1	82:12	posting 17:16	prior 8:17 10:3
67:4,11,21	65:9,20,22	politics 84:13	postmarked 8:20	probably 24:21
69:23 71:17	place 17:11 21:6	pollutant 4:14	potable 20:8,12	29:23 33:1
72:1,4,16,24	22:3 60:18	71:7	20:18 42:18	40:21 47:16
79:1,4,5,9 80:2	69:16	pollutants 21:7	potential 5:22	60:3
81:5,6 85:2,7	placed 9:9	32:19 33:21	51:5,6 54:19,21	problem 29:15
85:14,17 86:14	places 50:12	39:15 42:23	71:19 72:19	34:8 95:9
87:19 88:12	placing 55:3	48:4 70:4,6	73:7,12,16,22	problems 29:3
89:13,15,16,16	plan 6:21 44:7,8	94:23	74:8,9	53:20,22 64:11
90:2,4,9,10,12	51:22 52:14,17	polluter 62:22	potentially 33:20	65:24 84:15
90:15 91:6,22	53:9,11,12	pollution 6:3,4,9	36:8 56:15 58:1	90:14
permits 11:5,14	56:10 63:13,24	6:24 7:4 21:1,5	58:3	procedure 33:15
16:4,6 36:7	95:8,10	21:16 26:11,15	power 1:7 4:17	procedures 6:20
89:23	planning 89:8	29:22 37:7 38:3	4:17 6:2 11:23	18:21
permitted 18:15	plans 55:12 63:7	39:5,9,17 44:6	20:8 29:5 37:1	proceed 6:12
permittee 51:21	plant 13:1,4 24:4	44:8 48:9 49:16	37:13 38:21	7:14 14:2 15:21
permitting 35:15	27:9,12 29:4,5	76:24 77:14	42:7 45:10	proceeding 4:10
61:15 62:20	29:22 37:2,6	87:11,17	81:21 92:9	30:14 77:6
person 13:23	38:13,22 39:6,8	polymer 27:13	practical 17:14	proceedings 17:4
14:2 15:9 19:9	39:16 45:11	pond 13:2,7,8	practice 38:15	97:8,13
23:5	46:9,11 48:12	25:19 27:10	62:24 73:15	process 7:17
personal 13:21	48:22 77:11,14	37:16,22 45:5,7	89:20 91:21	10:23 25:18
persons 14:8	80:19,19 84:9	45:10,13,15	practices 62:13	30:24 32:5
perspective	84:18 92:9	48:18,20,24	practicing 41:1	34:19 35:15
70:16	plants 62:15,18	53:19,21 54:18	Prairie 42:2,12	36:3,6 62:20
pertaining 6:15	81:21 83:21	54:20 55:9,10	42:17 49:10	63:24 64:4
pertains 56:23	plant's 37:13	67:6,18 72:10	precautions	processing 44:15
pertinent 5:1	play 61:1	ponds 35:19 38:5	78:19,21	products 22:5
pH 43:24	Plaza 3:21 61:6	41:4 45:7 58:24	preliminary 4:18	professional 14:6
phase 63:7	please 8:3 9:10	68:21 94:22	23:20 24:15	35:3 37:5 39:4
Phillips 2:15	9:16 10:10	pool 61:6	prepare 56:10	75:12,22 79:14
66:10 76:10,12	15:12,18 17:6	pools 60:20	prepared 4:20	80:11 97:6
76:13,13 77:10	17:20 19:3,6	populated 60:13	12:19	program 19:23
77:17,24 78:9	36:6 60:6 83:10	population 78:3	preparing 32:11	51:22 95:4
79:8 80:5 82:4	89:3	81:15	present 2:16	programs 20:23
82:14,18	pleased 37:18	populations	Presently 51:10	progress 51:1
phosphorus	podium 19:4	81:11	pressure 62:21	project 4:19
28:14 38:2	point 6:1 8:3	portion 92:16	pretty 28:15 61:8	projects 22:9
	1	1	1	<u> </u>

		1	I	1
32:3,12	pump 13:9 67:19	23:14 24:3,20	73:22 74:7,9	regarding 7:16
Prolonged 18:13	purpose 4:10	43:1,12 45:3,22	reasoning 29:9	7:19 9:12 10:22
promote 42:16	6:17 17:5 86:20	46:13,18 49:2	reassessed 38:23	18:20 42:4
promulgated	purposes 14:15	49:17 50:22	recall 32:11	79:15
5:10	23:12 35:12	51:20 53:18	receive 10:18	regardless 56:9
proof 5:3	pursuant 50:24	54:12 57:9 58:6	received 9:5 10:6	91:23
propagate 78:2	purview 59:16	59:21 64:21	14:22 51:2 96:2	region 58:13
proper 79:14	put 48:22 53:13	67:1 74:22 75:1	receives 45:7	Register 94:6
propose 91:1	62:21 63:14	75:11 76:22	receiving 5:22,23	registered 88:17
proposed 37:19	83:20	78:7,15 81:3,17	30:11 38:9	88:22 97:5
proposing 62:19	putting 17:5	82:1,16 89:8	recognize 22:13	registration 8:3,4
protect 33:6	48:17 95:12	quick 75:9	28:24	8:9 16:2 30:7,9
35:16 37:11	P-h-i-l-l-i-p-s	quite 46:18	recommend	30:17
42:15 63:20	76:14		91:10	regulated 29:24
66:20,23 78:2	p-u-b-l-i-c-h-e	R	recommended	57:7
88:2 95:5,5	9:23	R 3:1	94:24	regulation 11:9
protection 1:2	P.M 1:20 4:1	raise 72:6 73:2	record 5:17 9:10	55:16 57:11
3:3 4:8,24	96:9	93:7	9:19 15:18 17:4	80:1
20:23 41:16	P.O 9:13	raised 8:16 13:12	17:6,21 18:12	regulations 5:6
61:15 64:8,23		19:1 31:19	19:1,8 23:4	5:10,15 7:1,3
protections 66:3	<u>Q</u>	34:21 60:9 69:9	43:14 71:16	17:2 20:1 22:23
provide 7:10,16	quality 3:8 5:23	69:17	75:8 83:10 86:2	56:1 81:2 88:1
7:18 8:5 10:19	11:2,4 20:20	raising 58:21	88:21 89:3,14	regulatory 19:13
10:21 11:13	28:11 35:16	ran 16:7	92:23 93:7,14	Rehn 2:10 39:24
29:8 39:1 42:3	42:24 48:3	rated 54:18,20	93:14 95:23,24	41:22,24 42:1
81:7	61:11 66:20,23	ratepayers 20:14	recreate 42:19	42:10 43:9,15
provided 16:4	70:13,15 74:3	rates 47:2	49:12	43:20 44:20
23:17 32:2	quality-based	raw 12:17	recreation 22:13	45:2,21 46:5,17
provides 22:12	71:20 72:8,22	RCRA 57:16	64:23 65:12	46:21 47:8,18
providing 8:8	quarterly 53:4,7	reaches 8:11	recreational	48:11,19 49:1,4
20:8 23:2 93:15	quarters 43:23	reaching 9:1	35:11 76:21	reissuance 13:20
provisions 6:20	43:23	read 23:3 43:13	recycling 22:4	22:18
54:15 80:12	question 24:2	70:3 84:3	reduce 39:12	reissue 4:23
proximity 58:13	25:2 26:3,19	reading 73:3,3	77:14 78:21	41:16
65:19 84:9,17	28:5 40:24	reads 5:2	82:9 95:6	reissued 4:21
prudent 56:14	43:13 44:11	real 34:9 75:8	reduced 97:10	71:17 90:4
public 1:17 6:18	46:6 47:10,19	91:2,22	reduces 21:18	relate 13:19 85:2
6:19 8:1 9:15	49:19 61:18	reality 81:18	reference 9:16	86:13
14:6,19,24 18:3	63:13 67:2,7	really 31:2 33:14	referencing	related 14:16
24:1 34:18	69:5 75:16,20	47:13 59:16	29:24	15:19 25:18
56:19 80:15	76:2 77:2,11,18	68:10,10 69:21	referred 4:15	91:16 97:11
94:2	77:22 78:10	84:12 85:15,16	referring 73:18	relates 5:9 32:5
publish 28:12	79:9 80:6,13	88:4	reflect 13:22	56:24
80:1	82:5,12 84:7	reason 46:2	69:23	relation 11:9
published 54:14	85:4 86:22 93:6	55:12 70:12,18	reflected 16:19	relationship 11:8
pull 60:21	93:13	reasonable 71:18	72:23	relative 86:23
pulverized 12:12	questions 18:20	72:19 73:12,16	regard 43:2 45:4	97:14
	<u> </u>	l	<u> </u>	l

MIDWEST LITIGATION SERVICES
Phone: 1.800.280.3376 Fax: 314.644.1334

	1	1	 I	ı
released 37:17	representative	58:3 89:21	42:22 49:14	73:6,20
relevance 57:19	36:21	responded 95:8	61:24 62:1,5	sampling 32:8,13
57:21 58:3 59:5	representatives	responding	92:5	33:1,3,6 67:22
relevant 5:18	30:23	14:14	rivers 42:2,12,15	Sangamon 35:2,2
6:12 8:15 9:8	representing	response 18:23	42:17 49:10	42:11,21 49:14
14:3 15:10,19	17:23,24 19:5	23:17,20 75:8	RMMS 58:14	61:24 62:1,4
57:17 58:3	23:24	88:19 92:24	road 34:7	92:5 97:4
59:16	represents 35:5	93:15	roadways 13:7	Sanitary 69:1
relief 26:7,14,17	request 55:7 79:6	responses 23:18	Robert 3:8	saying 26:13
rely 37:9	80:2 90:6,7	23:20 24:15	Robin 3:19 97:5	62:4 67:24
remain 95:24	requested 51:16	responsibility	97:21	86:12
remarks 10:24	require 37:5,10	84:4 94:10	role 10:22	says 68:18 74:16
remedial 54:1	39:11 64:1,4,10	responsive 96:5	room 17:7 88:16	79:9
remedies 95:13	75:22 79:2,21	responsiveness	Rotche 2:11	scare 87:2
remember 25:1,7	82:6	8:12,14 16:20	41:23 49:5,8,9	scary 81:9
32:22 53:2,5	required 48:1	23:18,21 28:20	49:24 50:3,6,12	scheduled 1:19
79:20	56:10,13 63:19	43:8,19 45:20	50:21 51:12,15	school 1:18 60:15
remind 18:17	67:15 77:11,20	46:15 58:4 68:8	51:19 52:12,20	60:16
85:1 95:22	81:4	68:12 70:20	53:8,17 54:1,10	scope 15:20
reminded 40:15	requirement	75:18 78:8	55:19,24 56:24	50:20 57:8 85:4
remove 21:7	33:8 38:20	90:21 93:16	57:15,24 59:1,8	85:13,19 87:3,6
removes 21:18	56:15 63:15,16	result 40:16	59:18	Scrafford 2:8
renewal 1:7 4:14	77:13	resulting 48:8	round 29:12	34:14 36:15,17
20:5 22:18 90:1	requirements	results 52:22	RPR 3:19	36:18 39:22
90:6,7,16	4:19 5:19 6:24	55:8 56:16 59:2	Ruined 61:1	screen 13:5
renewed 19:24	33:18 56:5,6	retire 40:14	rule 54:13,14,22	screens 80:18,24
89:17 90:8	70:3 81:6 91:11	retirement 21:12	57:6,13,16	scrubber 21:23
renewing 90:15	requires 81:7,22	41:7	78:16 79:18	68:18
repeat 26:3	requiring 39:18	retiring 22:1	80:3	seat 4:5
repetition 16:16	56:16 92:15	62:18	rules 4:11 5:20	second 25:6
replaced 52:8	resident 31:18	retrospect 60:17	runoff 12:24	73:19
reply 10:14,15,18		reused 50:19	13:3,5,7 20:24	Secondly 13:18
report 20:20	residents 20:10	review 4:21 7:17	25:13,15,16	section 3:9,11
28:11,20 31:9	39:2	10:22 51:13,24	39:17 42:6	4:23 5:1 11:3,7
45:19 48:1 51:1	residual 70:5	69:13	runs 4:11 15:1	11:17 30:22,23
56:11 81:13	residuals 55:4	reviewed 33:14	rural 35:21	31:5 43:7 77:21
reported 47:12	resolved 43:5	51:3	R-e-h-n 42:1	Sections 7:2
50:1,7,11 56:19	69:18	reviewing 51:11	R-o-t-c-h-e 49:9	see 8:3 28:2,19
reporter 3:19	resolving 9:1	95:15		29:6,7 37:18
17:3,18 18:3,11	resource 20:16	rid 64:12	$\frac{S}{G_{2} + 21}$	46:21 61:3,4,5
25:14,24 26:9	resources 42:2	right 14:20 25:1	S 3:1,21	61:7 81:23 86:5
27:2 42:8 44:16	58:22	25:7 26:21 43:9	safe 27:24	seeing 72:5
60:6 79:3,22	respect 92:6,14	49:23 57:10	safeguards 41:17	seen 33:14,24
93:18 97:1,6,7	respectful 14:5	63:9 68:6 72:7	66:20	34:4 52:9,21
reporting 52:23	respiratory 6:5	72:21 78:23	sample 31:3	61:9
56:5,8	respond 8:15	84:14 85:12	67:23	seepage 12:23
reports 43:21	23:11 43:18	River 35:2,2	samples 33:9,13	53:18,20
			l	I

	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	I	<u> </u>
self-checked	83:4,5,6,7,11	solve 34:8	90:18 91:24	standards 3:8
30:20	83:12,14 84:21	somebody 26:10	speculated 86:2	11:2,4 20:19
self-implement	85:8,20 86:4,21	35:9,11,23	speculative 91:2	36:6 55:17,18
56:2 57:11	87:4,12,14,22	59:12 65:10	spell 18:4,6,6	61:21 64:9
self-reporting	Sierra 36:21	someone's 88:2	19:7 60:6,7	70:13,15 74:13
32:9	66:14,15 76:15	somewhat 48:5	83:10 89:2	84:12 91:7
semiannual 70:2	significant 8:16	sons 31:20	spelled 10:11	94:24
70:11	38:22 54:19,21	soon 17:13	40:2 66:12	start 18:7 27:18
send 9:10 10:15	71:6,9 80:8	sooner 33:23	spellings 18:5	87:18
27:9,12	silos 39:19	90:12	spoke 72:14	state 1:1 3:21
senior 40:18	similar 13:15	sorry 25:14 26:2	spoken 88:17	17:20 19:4,6
sense 34:9	28:5 41:9 75:20	26:9 29:16 50:4	92:22 93:4	29:9 34:17
sent 9:22 10:5	simply 18:1 73:2	51:14 79:3,22	spots 32:20	42:12 89:2 97:3
69:1	single 74:2	sort 33:2 34:4	spring 81:12	97:7
sentence 7:16	sir 24:17 25:4,9	57:12	84:10	statement 7:18
10:21	93:10	sorted 10:9	Springfield 1:19	11:22 23:2
serious 81:1	site 7:4 12:21	sound 86:14	3:4,22 4:16	statements 7:20
seriously 38:20	39:13 48:7	sounds 81:9	9:14 11:24	13:18,21,24
server 10:1,14	49:18 51:23	source 63:10	12:21 20:11,15	14:18 15:10
serves 20:17 21:3	57:1 58:19 61:1	65:11,12 66:22	20:17,18 21:3,8	states 46:6 51:21
service 13:8	68:18 94:22	76:19 91:21	22:8,11,21 24:8	54:23 78:17
34:17 58:14	six 16:1 18:8	92:8	24:12 27:17,21	State-Journal
services 3:21	24:22 55:4	South 35:1 92:4	28:9,13 31:19	94:6
32:2	56:11	Southeast 1:18	34:21,23 35:1	station 4:17 6:2
set 5:20 33:12	size 78:18	Spaulding 60:12	35:10 36:23	11:23 13:9
43:1 45:3 53:17	Skrukrud 2:14	speak 8:1 11:19	37:12,18,24	status 50:22 51:7
54:12 55:1 58:6	2:20 64:17 66:9	16:3,5,8 17:19	38:6,12,23 39:3	51:8 52:1
74:14	66:11,12 67:10	33:17 75:3	40:11,21 41:2,5	statute 5:10
settling 27:10,11	67:24 68:13	88:18 89:1	41:18 42:10,21	steam 12:2
seven 43:23	69:3,8 70:22	speaker 16:1	45:5,24 49:14	step 81:23
shared 94:6	71:12 73:1,24	63:12	60:9 63:20	Stephenson
shellfish 78:3	74:11,19,24	SPEAKERS 2:2	65:10 66:16,21	81:14
she'll 66:9 76:10	75:4,10,19 76:3	speaking 14:2	69:1 71:2,5	Stevenson 11:24
82:19	76:6 94:18	18:9	76:18,18,20	stop 55:3
short 61:23	95:17	special 51:20	78:4 81:10,24	stored 39:19
Shorthand 97:6	slow 42:8	52:2 70:1,7	84:11 92:4	92:11
shot 24:22	sludge 68:18	83:17	ss 97:3	storing 56:3
show 89:14	sluice 67:6,7	specialist 49:10	stability 58:7,18	stormwater
showing 37:21	small 35:5 80:20	specific 20:2	58:20,23 59:15	12:24 13:5,7
54:7 55:8	80:21	23:15	staff 14:13 19:23	25:13,15,16,18
shown 83:18	smokestacks	specifically 13:20	71:6	42:6 44:6,8,14
shows 58:10,15	87:9	39:15 47:9 92:5	staffing 9:6	44:19
Shu-Mei 3:10	Social 84:4	92:10,13,14	stand 39:2 49:15	strategy 51:1
7:18 10:23	sold 50:19	specifications	standard 48:3	stream 12:22
11:16 13:11	solid 57:6,20	32:12	61:17 62:3,23	45:14
44:10 69:12	solids 38:2	specify 10:7	73:9,12,14,23	streams 42:15
sides 2:17 82:20	solution 91:20	speculate 55:22	74:3,10	Street 1:18
L	•	•	<u>'</u>	•

	1	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	
stress 16:15	60:12	45:20 46:16	table 8:4,9 73:17	36:18 39:20,21
stretching 60:20	subject 6:3,10	58:5 68:8,12	74:18 86:10	41:19,20 42:3
strictly 7:9	10:9,11 33:7	70:21 75:18	take 28:19 37:6	45:2 46:17 49:3
strives 42:14	51:23 56:4	78:8 89:22	38:19 60:17	53:8 54:11
strong 93:22,24	submit 10:1	90:21 93:16	82:8,8	59:20,22 60:5
stronger 36:6	15:14 16:12	95:18	taken 7:21 44:2	64:14,15,19
strongest 66:3	31:9 56:16 79:2	summer 65:15	48:22 78:21	65:7 66:6,7
structural 32:2	79:6,10,21 80:3	81:12	97:9,13	68:13 69:8 73:1
structure 46:8	92:18	summertime	talk 48:5 60:5	74:19 76:6,6,8
Studer 3:3 4:2,6	submittal 10:17	60:22	72:20 88:8	78:9 80:5 82:14
9:12 11:19	submitted 8:17	supply 20:18	talked 57:22	82:15,17 83:13
13:10 18:24	14:23 30:24	support 11:13	talking 68:6 86:7	88:6,7 92:19,20
19:15,18 23:1	55:7 59:4,7	16:22	86:18 91:1	93:17 94:14,15
24:14,19 25:5	90:7 96:3	supporting 16:24	talks 67:4 95:10	95:18,19,20
25:21 26:19	submitting 8:9	suppose 48:11	technical 5:8	96:7
29:13,16 30:6	15:2	supposed 53:4	technique 72:12	Thanks 36:12
30:13 31:14	Subpart 6:23	sure 10:10 37:6	technologies	95:17
34:12 36:13	subsequent	43:20 46:9	37:11	thereto 97:16
39:21 40:3,6	56:11	52:20 55:19	technology 38:17	thermal 26:6,14
41:20 43:11,17	substance 73:4	57:8,15,24	39:4 77:13	27:19 39:5,9
47:6 49:3 56:21	substances 70:14	59:13,18,22	79:15 80:10	76:23,24 77:3,6
57:18 59:14,22	substantive 9:5	65:4 66:17,19	technology-bas	77:14,18,19
60:2 64:15 65:2	32:6	85:8 87:6 90:19	75:13 77:18	78:7,10,12
65:6 66:7 74:21	Subtitle 5:21	surrounding	teenage 60:13	they'd 95:13
75:2,6 76:8	sucked 80:23	20:11	tell 46:15 70:19	thing 32:10 33:2
82:17,23 83:3,9	suffer 29:2	survey 58:10	70:20 95:2,16	things 31:2,5
83:13 84:19,24	suffers 28:10	81:13	temperature	33:12 56:13
85:12,23 86:11	sufficient 8:21	suspended 38:1	24:5,7,11 25:6	81:1 82:2 84:22
86:24 87:10,13	sufficiently 15:4	sustainable 35:6	25:21,23,24	89:12 91:2
87:16 88:7,20	40:22	SWPPP 44:13,13	26:3,4,17,20,23	think 29:9 30:15
88:24 90:17	Sugar 12:21 21:8	44:17,23,24	27:15 93:23	33:5 35:13,14
92:20 93:1	24:9,13 27:17	sycamore 60:19	tend 32:17	41:3 47:10,15
94:15 95:20	27:19,20 37:18	symptoms 88:3	terms 20:2 50:8	52:21 59:19
studied 24:10,13	38:2 41:5 42:11	syndrome 71:3	95:4	60:3 61:8,13,14
83:19	42:21 45:16	system 4:15	test 83:17,24	68:7 69:4,9,20
studies 28:2,9,18	49:14 51:5	12:18 21:15	86:6	70:19 72:16
28:22 34:2 77:3	60:15,18 61:11	36:10,11 37:14	testify 36:19	74:24 75:7
78:13 81:4 82:6	61:17,20 63:3	48:17 56:13	testifying 40:10	81:18 88:11
85:10 91:3	66:23 76:20	80:7,19	testing 32:8,13	89:10,11,13
95:11	78:5 92:1,3,11	S-c-r-a-f-f-o-r-d	32:15,22 33:3	91:4,9,22
study 27:22	92:16	36:18	thank 13:10	thinking 41:13
28:23 29:7,9	sulfur 83:18	S-i-d-e-s 83:11	19:19,20 22:24	third 77:17
56:6 62:16,17	85:14	S-k-r-u-k-r-u-d	23:1,23 27:14	third-party 10:1
79:6 84:8,16,20	summary 8:13	66:12	28:4,21 29:11	63:16
85:11 94:2,12	8:14 15:13	S-W-P-P-P 44:18	29:13 30:18	thorium 70:9,23
stupidly 60:17	16:20 23:18,21		31:12,14 34:12	thoughts 32:4
subdivision	28:20 43:8,19		34:16 36:12,13	threaten 38:10
	1	1	1	'

three 17:15 31:19	train 40:20	unaffected 28:1	81:2,5,22 91:19	72:16 74:1 78:6
31:20 38:24	transcript 17:9	unclear 41:3	users 10:3	84:24 89:20
40:14 55:8 75:3	17:13,17	unconcerned	uses 35:11 62:14	wanted 67:2
93:12	trapped 80:24	86:16	80:6	68:15 70:1 72:6
three-eights-of	treat 14:4 25:17	underground	usually 17:14	95:18
78:17	25:20 44:15	58:11,13	utilities 21:7 56:3	warm 65:15
throw 33:1	67:17	understand	57:14	warrant 14:10
throwing 33:13	treated 24:4,6	25:15 32:7	utility 20:7,8	waste 12:22 13:2
time 8:13,21	25:3,11 27:5,6	68:17 69:21	utilize 12:18	45:13 54:13
14:24 15:8,11	treatment 13:1	70:22 71:12,18	utilizes 38:17	57:6,20 68:20
15:24 16:4,6,7	27:9,12 68:23	understanding	U.S 15:2	70:5 84:5 91:13
31:13 32:21	trees 60:19	50:24 53:19	T 7	wastewater 6:8
39:20 41:8,11	tried 32:13	57:5 61:22	V V	13:1 25:11,19
46:19 47:3,15	true 29:4 71:8	understood	valid 89:23	42:5 68:19,23
52:22 53:21	try 24:23 40:7	91:17	valuable 20:15	68:24
54:9 55:16,23	61:2 75:8	Unfortunately	22:12 29:10	wastewaters 48:6
59:20 62:21	trying 71:18 82:1	82:21	value 29:6,7	watch 85:3
64:7 66:6 90:8	82:2 87:1	UNIDENTIFI	56:20	water 1:7 3:8
93:11 95:14	Tsai 3:10 7:18	40:5 82:21 83:1	values 74:6 76:21	4:17 5:20,23
timely 15:24 90:8	10:23 11:15,16	unit 3:8,10 11:17	variance 78:12	6:9,13,18 11:2
90:16	11:21 25:10,16	13:6 21:11,11	varies 25:22	11:4,13,22
times 16:18 31:3	25:23 26:2,6,16	21:14,19,23	various 32:20	12:17,22,24
44:7 74:7	26:21 27:3,8	49:20 55:4	69:15 91:5	13:4 15:19 20:9
timetable 51:13	44:12,18,22	units 12:9,16	94:24	20:12,18,19,20
timing 18:7	45:12 49:21	21:13 22:1 48:7	vary 25:23,24	20:22 21:5,18
today 11:22 37:4	50:2 67:9,17	54:23 75:23	vehicle 5:4	24:3,8,12 25:2
tonight 4:10 8:7	78:23 79:5,18	unlined 38:5	vessel 5:4	25:7,19 26:5
9:16 13:12,18	79:24	54:18,20,23	view 61:2	27:5,7,9,16,23
14:5,15,18 15:7	Tsai's 69:12	55:14	violation 5:5	27:24 28:11,17
19:21 20:2,22	turn 17:19	unnecessary	43:22,24 44:1,1	30:11 34:22
22:16,19 23:16	two 7:16 10:22	16:15	44:5,21,23	35:10,16,17
24:16 30:23	12:5 25:7 28:12	unruly 14:9	52:19 61:16	36:1,4,10 37:1
57:10 59:12,20	32:4 52:6,7	updated 81:6	62:3 95:7	37:7,9,13,14
60:5 72:21	55:10 62:14	upgradient 52:5	violations 43:2	38:13,20,21
76:23 96:6	75:1,7,10,11	52:6	Viper 50:14	40:21 41:2 42:1
tonight's 4:9	83:7,12 93:2,9	up-to-date 72:18	visible 53:21	42:19,23 43:22
5:18 7:13 9:7	Tyler 2:11 41:23	urge 36:5 37:4	voice 66:16	44:6,15 46:7
40:19	49:5,9	41:15 66:1	voicing 41:9	48:3 49:10,12
topic 40:19	type 39:17	urgency 34:9	voids 58:12	49:16 56:23
total 12:3 38:1	typewriting	use 21:18 37:5,10	\mathbf{W}	57:1 60:20
tower 12:18	97:10	39:3 48:23	wait 89:21	61:11,21 62:2
21:17,17	typically 70:8	62:12 66:4	walk 60:17	63:4,6,10 64:22
toxic 37:7 38:5		67:19 74:1,4,5	want 16:5,15	65:11 66:4,13
39:12 41:4		77:11 94:3	23:8,13 24:15	66:18,20,22,23
toxins 36:9 83:20	ugly 61:4 63:8	useful 22:5 47:16	30:8 40:7 66:17	67:6,6,7,8,18
83:21 85:20	unacceptable 38:7,10	USEPA 54:13,18	66:19 69:5	67:19,20 68:4
86:8		56:1 57:7 70:3		70:13,15 71:19

	1			
72:8,22 74:3	70:19,20 71:10	works 20:22	zone 62:10	20 94:5
76:16,19 77:20	72:19,21 75:16	worldwide 22:11	Z-e-m-a-n 19:13	2001 71:17 81:5
85:14,15,17	76:4 77:9,15,23	wouldn't 29:6		89:19
86:10 87:19	78:7 80:14	87:21	#	2006 71:22 72:9
94:3	82:13 85:3,5	writer 11:4,16	#084-002046	73:18
waters 5:22,23	87:3 93:15	writing 15:15	3:20	2009 12:7 21:10
21:8 37:8 38:9	we're 4:5 51:10	16:12 23:21	0	21:21
92:2 95:5	55:15,17 69:6	43:18 90:21		2010 84:4 94:5
watershed 20:23	73:23 80:16	92:19	0.0032 73:21	2014 43:4 69:13
21:2 28:16	85:18 86:11,12	written 8:9,20	004 21:22 45:16	79:20 80:2
42:17 92:11	86:16,18 88:3	9:11 14:11,21	68:4 72:10	2015 1:17 8:19
watersheds	92:13 95:14	16:13 22:17	006 45:17 68:1	8:23 10:7 15:2
42:22 49:15	we've 28:10	23:17 89:9,22	02 67:20	207.4 12:13
way 4:4 33:4,17	33:12 53:2 75:3	96:1	06 67:22	21 51:20
43:14 60:14	81:18 88:15	www.ipcb.stat	084-002046	217.522.2211
74:14 85:2	whatnot 72:12	7:5	97:21	3:22
86:13	wife 31:19	W-i-l-l-i-a-m-s	09 67:20	217.558.8280 3:5
ways 38:15	wildlife 22:12	40:2	1	23 2:4
weather 65:15	36:1 42:13	•	1 12:9,16,23	230.1 12:15
web 7:6 17:10	76:21 78:4	X X	10 37:16 47:24	2350 1:18
website 56:19	Williams 2:9	X 2:1	10-17 69:12	26 43:4 73:18
weekend 65:14	36:16 39:23	Y	100-year 58:15	28 1:17
weeks 17:15	40:1,2,10 41:21	yeah 5:17 24:19	100 year 50.15 1021 3:4 9:13	29 2:5 8:19,23
40:16	willing 52:24	40:5,7 43:17	107 26:23	10:6 15:1,5
weight 16:13,19	55:20	44:23 47:11	12 26:23 43:22	29th 96:1
welcome 4:9	wish 6:1	50:3 53:1,3	13 43:23 60:10	3
83:14	wishes 15:9	57:15 60:2 65:6	14 56:11	
wellness 83:16	16:10	71:4,12,13 72:7	15 3:21 79:19	3 12:12,16 13:3
wells 52:5,6,7,7	withdraws 38:13	75:2 76:1 81:20	80:1	301(b) 77:21
52:16 53:14	won 20:23 21:13	82:4 83:2,5,11	15-day 69:13	303(d) 28:11
54:6 55:9,10	wonder 41:7	84:21 86:4,11	150,000 37:8	309.1157:2
95:1,12	67:13	87:14,16,22	16 12:20	309.119 7:3
went 60:15,15	wondered 70:10	year 54:16	166 6:23	31 2:6
83:23	95:2	years 28:12	17 29:21 69:13	3100 11:23
weren't 83:22	wondering 51:7	31:24 38:24	17th 54:14,16	316(b) 78:17
Wes 31:16 34:13	51:24 53:22	39:1 40:12,14	18 70:2,7	34 2:7
34:15	55:11 56:19	61:17,19 78:12	180 90:1	35 5:20 6:22 7:1 73:20
west 2:7 13:5	58:17 68:19	79:10 89:24	180.2 12:11	36 2:8
wet 62:19,22	79:12	Yep 43:15 73:24	19 2:3	36 2:8 37 38:23 78:12
63:8 64:5,9	words 10:11	Yes's 19:17	19276 9:14	37 38:23 78:12 39 4:23
65:8,20,20,21	80:16	young 61:10	1963 31:22	39 4:23
75:24	work 11:2,3,7		1973 40:12	4
we'll 24:14,22	31:22 34:21	Z	1978 77:6	4 12:14,18 13:6
28:2,19 43:7,18	42:1 49:9 83:8	Zeman 2:3 19:9		21:11,14,19
45:19 46:3,14	worked 31:23	19:10,12,13,19	2	37:16 43:24
46:15 47:16	working 36:22	23:3 48:4	2 12:9,16,23 67:3	44:1 47:9,21
50:16 68:12	55:16,17 95:4		67:13 68:2	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
	•	•	•	•

48:6 49:20	9 67:3,13 68:2			
54:23,24 55:2	93 2:19			
55:14 62:13	94 2:20			
67:12 68:16	95 82:9			
69:15 71:24	95th 73:7			
4's 21:23	99 16:18 26:21			
40 2:9 40:12	77 10110 20121			
41 2:10				
415 4:24				
49 2:11				
5				
5 44:2 67:12				
69:15 72:1				
5/39 5:1				
6				
6 23:3 45:4,4,6				
45:23 46:7,10				
47:9 67:12				
68:17 69:16				
6:00 1:20				
6:02 4:1				
60 2:12				
600 22:9				
618 12:3				
620 55:17				
62701 3:22				
62703 1:19				
627794-9276 3:4				
62794-9276 9:14				
64 2:13				
66 2:14				
7				
7 63:3 67:13				
70,000 20:9 76 2:15				
70 2:13				
8				
8 26:22				
8:05 96:9				
800.280.3376				
3:23				
83 2:17				
89 2:18				
9				
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u></u> _	<u> </u>